

LETTER TO SHAREHOLDERS

In the unprecedented media exposure garnered by more than 400 Oakley athletes who competed in the Sydney Olympics, sprinter Ato Boldon and his 4x100 relay teammates appeared in OVERTHETOP[™] sunglasses. Only Mad Science would reverse engineer the human skull, discarding earstems for a hingeless frame that wraps the upper cranium.

Announcers spent millions of dollars of airtime attempting to comprehend and describe what they were witnessing.

I like that.

But even more satisfying in the year 2000 was that people began to accept Oakley as more than just a sunglass company. For the past four years, we've driven hard to broaden the Oakley brand beyond sunglasses into footwear, apparel, watches and prescription eyewear.

After a couple years of reassessing my own level of involvement in day-to-day operations, I'm having a great time driving the bus again. In 2000, we were able to steer into the passing lane and open the throttle a bit. Getting there wasn't easy. At times it was a frustrating, seemingly thankless effort that felt like it would last forever. But it revealed how previous years, with our emphasis on research and development, were a necessary part of the journey.

Just two years ago, members of our design, marketing and sales teams had to split their brains between eyewear, footwear, apparel and watches, and between U.S., Europe, Asia and the Americas — the mental equivalent of guiding eight fighter jets to the same carrier deck. So we set out to attract outside talent and build teams of innovators in each category, and to nurture the brand in each key global market.

Today we have design teams for each category, as well as marketing and sales teams in each key country. All our teams are breaking new ground and challenging norms that have become restrictive, boring, consumer-ignoring "industry standards." As a result, Oakley's new-category revenues grew to \$56.1 million in 2000 from \$30.2 million in 1999, and represented 15 percent of our gross revenue compared with just 11 percent one year ago. International net sales grew to \$170 million from \$116 million, to 47 percent of net revenue from 45 percent.

Our biggest and most profitable year ever, 2000 produced 83-percent earnings growth to over \$51 million on a 41percent revenue increase to over \$363 million. We generated more than \$100 million in EBITDA that was used to fund our working capital needs, capital expenditures and, with a little help from our credit line, to repurchase approximately 3.1 million shares at an average price of \$10.38 over the past 16 months. And despite the worst equity market in a decade, Oakley stock bucked the trend, starting at \$5.56, rising to a high of \$22.50 and closing the year up 143% at \$13.50.

Sunglasses and related products still represented 80 percent of our total revenues in 2000; geographically, the U.S. still accounted for 53 percent. It's no big stretch to suggest that five years from now or sooner, if we've properly

assessed our global opportunities in footwear, apparel, watches and prescription eyewear, and if we continue to execute our penetration strategy in each, our sunglass business may be bigger than it is today but represent less than 50 percent of total revenues. Likewise, our international business may be larger than our U.S. business in the near future.

Steering into 2001, we continue to broaden our product offerings, spawning more inventions, deploying more effective media and in-store advertising, leveraging our sports marketing programs and striving to gain market share in every category in which we've chosen to compete.

My lawyers counsel me against making financial predictions, so I'll just say this: The fact that we've only begun to tap the potential of the athletic footwear, apparel, watch and prescription eyewear markets gives us confidence that there are more good years ahead.

We enter 2001 with both hands on the wheel, a much better view of competing traffic and more nitro-methane fuel in our tanks than ever before. More people from all over the world are climbing aboard, including footwear and apparel retailers, ophthalmic clinics and retailers, watch shops, world-class athletes, and of course, consumers.

I wish you could see the view from my seat. The opportunities ahead have never been greater. There are no flashing lights in the rearview mirror, but if the last 26 years are any indication, the radar guns are on us.

njam

Jim Jannard Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 10-K

FOR ANNUAL AND TRANSITION REPORTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

☑ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

> For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000 Commission File Number: 1-13848

Oakley, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Washington (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 95-3194947 (IRS Employer ID No.)

One Icon Foothill Ranch, California (Address of principal executive offices)

92610 (ZIP Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (949) 951-0991

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each className ofCommon Stock, par value \$.01 per share

Name of each exchange on which registered New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the 90 days. Yes \boxtimes No \square

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of the close of business on March 21, 2001: \$440,840,331.

Number of shares of common stock, \$.01 par value, outstanding as of the close of business on March 21, 2001: 68,614,255 shares.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the proxy statement for the registrant's 2001 Annual Shareholders Meeting are incorporated by reference into Part III herein.

Oakley, Inc. TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

- ITEM 1. BUSINESS
- ITEM 2. PROPERTIES
- ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS
- ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

PART II

- ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS
- ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA
- ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
- ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK
- ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA
- ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

PART III

- ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT
- **ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**
- ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT
- ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

PART IV

ITEM 14. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

<u>Part I</u>

BUSINESS

General

Oakley, Inc. (referenced here as the "Company" or "Oakley") is a Washington corporation formed in March 1994 to succeed to the assets and liabilities of Oakley, Inc., a California corporation that commenced operations in 1977 and began to sell sunglasses in 1984. The Company is an innovationdriven designer, manufacturer and distributor of consumer products that include high-performance eyewear, footwear, watches, apparel and accessories. The Company believes its principal strength is its ability to develop products that demonstrate superior performance and aesthetics through proprietary technology and styling. The Company's designs and innovations are protected by approximately 600 legal patents worldwide.

Forward-Looking Statements

When used in this document, the words "believes," "anticipates," "expects," "estimates," "intends," "may," "plans," "predicts," "will" or the negative thereof and similar expressions are intended to identify, in certain circumstances, forward-looking statements. Such statements are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected, including risks related to the dependence on sales to Sunglass Hut, which has recently agreed to be acquired by one of the Company's major competitors and, accordingly, could seek to alter its relationship with the Company; the acceptance in the marketplace of new products; the ability to source raw materials and finished products at prices favorable to the Company; the ability to develop and introduce innovative products and introduce them in a timely manner; the effect of the California power crisis on the Company's operations; foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations; and other risks outlined in this report and in the Company's previously filed public documents, copies of which may be obtained without cost from the Company. In addition, the success of any new product line depends on various factors. including the Company's ability to identify qualified manufacturing partners; the ability to coordinate product development and production processes with those partners; the ability of those manufacturing partners to increase production volumes in a timely fashion in response to increasing demand and enable the Company to achieve timely delivery of finished goods to its retail customers; and the availability of raw materials and critical manufacturing equipment. Other factors and assumptions are involved in preparing forward-looking information related to product development and introduction. The uncertainty associated with all these factors, and any change in such factors from the Company's expectations, could result in cost increases, delays, or cancellations of such new products, and may also cause actual results to differ materially from those projected. Because of these uncertainties, prospective investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such statements. The Company undertakes no obligation to update these forward-looking statements.

Product Line and Brand Extension

Oakley intends to introduce product line extensions and new product lines in the future and develop innovations targeted to attract additional consumers, and will support efforts to further diversify the Company as a global brand. To take advantage of unique opportunities, the Company may, from time to time, manufacture private-label or other sunglasses for other companies. The Company may also market and sell sunglasses under brand names other than "Oakley." In addition, the Company has licensed, and may determine to further license, its intellectual property rights to others in optical or other industries.

To date, the Company has designed its footwear, watch, apparel and other accessories using its own resources in order to preserve brand image, which the Company believes will bring greater respect and demand for Oakley's products over the long term.

Product Design and Development

At its core, Oakley is a technology company, in business to seek out problems with existing consumer products and solve them in ways that redefine product categories. The foundation of the Company is built on three fundamental precepts: Find opportunity. Solve with technology. Wrap in art.

State-of-the-art technology maintains efficiency, precision and speed in the Company's product development cycle. Stereolithographic computer modeling is combined with CAD/CAM liquid-laser prototyping to create fully detailed prototypes of eyewear, footwear and accessories. Rapid iteration of working models allows for extensive design testing before final production. After the development stage is complete, the finalized sculpture can be used directly in preparation of production tooling. Utilizing these processes, the Company is capable of introducing new eyewear product lines within four months of initial concept.

The Company's products undergo extensive testing throughout development. The American National Standards Institute (ANSI) has established specific testing criteria for eyewear. Known as Z87.1, these tests analyze product safety and provide quantitative measure of optical quality. The Company conducts ANSI tests at its own facilities on a regular basis, and all eyewear products meet or exceed Z87.1 standards. In addition, the Company performs a broad range of eyewear durability testing that includes extremes of UV, heat, condensation and humidity. With strict guidelines from the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) and other industry authorities, Oakley footwear and apparel are tested to ensure quality, performance and durability. Abrasion tests are performed on the outsole and shoe upper, and on clothing that utilizes rubber compounds in high-abrasion areas. Analyses of the traction performance of outsoles, the bond strength between shoe components and the breathability of textiles are also performed.

Eyewear Technology

Among the Company's most important patents are those which guard its achievements in toroidal single-lens geometry and the associated manufacturing techniques, dual-spherical lens technology and the associated optical advances, and innovations in frame design and functionality. The proprietary technologies employed in lens cutting, etching and coating, as well as the Company's significant investment in specialized equipment, are matched with exclusive formulations of production materials to achieve the superior optical quality, safety and performance of Oakley eyewear.

Oakley's patented *XYZ Optics*[®] represents a major breakthrough in lens technology. Precise geometric orientation provides optical correction on three axes, not just two. The resulting lens allows light to be received over essentially the full angular range of vision while minimizing distortion caused by disparate refraction along that range — an advance that increases clarity for all angles of view. This allows for wrapped, raked-back lens configurations that enhance peripheral vision and protection against sun, wind and side impact.

High-performance sports application eyewear featuring Oakley's patented *POLARIC ELLIPSOID*^{$^{\text{TM}}$} lens geometry (*M Frame*[®], *Pro M Frame*[®] and *Zeroes*[®]) have demonstrated superior optical clarity when compared to similar products of principal competitors. Developed specifically for toroidal lenses (which use different measurements for top-to-bottom vs. side-to-side curvature), this proprietary geometry allows the Company to produce single-lens sports shields that provide enhanced coverage and protection while reducing distortion at all angles of vision.

Plutonite[®] lens material and *Iridium*[®] lens coatings are among the Company's most prominent advances in eyewear. *Plutonite* is a proprietary material used to produce lenses of exceptional optical clarity. The material inherently blocks 100% of all UVA, UVB, UVC and harmful blue light. Rendered as lenses of extremely high durability and low weight, it offers superior impact protection when matched with the Company's eyewear frames. *Iridium* is a metallic oxide that improves contrast and thereby enhances perception of detail. A full spectrum of available *Iridium* lens coatings allows the wearer to tune transmission for any given light condition. The coating has become very popular for eyewear used in demanding sports such as skiing and cycling, and in high altitude use.

The distinctive hues of the Company's lenses add to their popularity in the recreational sunglass market. By offering interchangeable lenses for certain frames, as well as other changeable components in various colors and shapes, the Company has created a market for replacement parts. Depending on the sunglass, an Oakley customer may have several lenses for differing light conditions and several nosepieces and earpieces in a range of colors for variety, adaptability and personalized styling.

The Company continues to raise the bar of performance with innovative engineering in frame design. A proprietary three-point fit serves to retain optical alignment while eliminating the discomfort of ordinary frames that mount with unbalanced pressure points. The frames of *Wires*TM are rendered from a durable, lightweight alloy of five metallic compounds. *O Matter*[®] frames are composed of a lightweight synthetic that retains durability while allowing critical flex. As the only 3-D sculptured, hypoallergenic, all-metal frames on earth, *X Metal*[®] is a family of eyewear named for a proprietary metal blend that exhibits an extraordinary strength-to-weight ratio. *X Metal*[®] frames are produced with a unique metallurgical process and are designed to utilize breakthroughs in architectural mechanics that allow the customer to tailor the fit.

The Company has applied prescription lens technology to all its frame models. *Oakley Rx* is an adaptive geometry that utilizes computer modeling to reconfigure the optics of corrective lenses, shaping them to the wrapped curvature of the Company's frames. Critical focus is extended to the periphery, producing the widest possible field of clarity for prescription eyewear. Custom grinding and specialized equipment for cutting and edging give Oakley proprietary control of this precision lens tailoring. The Company's prescription lenses can be made to fit any brand of ophthalmic frame, regardless of model or manufacturer. Options that include *Iridium*[®] lens coatings, polarization and clear lenses with anti-reflective coating offer customers added incentive to select *Oakley Rx* prescription lenses.

The Company is currently preparing to release its first line of ophthalmic-specific frames. Each rendering is tuned with geometries that maintain critical focus for the widest possible range of ophthalmic correction. The frames will take advantage of numerous technical and aesthetic advances created for the Company's non-prescription frames, including lightweight metals for high durability and maximized comfort, *Unobtainium*[®] components that increase grip with perspiration, and Oakley's proprietary three-point fit.

Eyewear Products

Several new eyewear products were introduced during the year 2000. The new releases include three additional styles of $Wire^{\mathbb{T}}$; updated versions of $A Wire^{\mathbb{T}}$, $E Wire^{\mathbb{T}}$ and $Square Wire^{\mathbb{T}}$; new $O Matter^{\mathbb{T}}$ styles called $Four^{\mathbb{T}}$ and $Twenty^{\mathbb{T}}$; a new version of the Company's popular $Eye Jacket^{\mathbb{T}}$; a new sportspecific sunglass called $Water Jackets^{\mathbb{T}}$; and a completely new thinking in eyewear called $OVERTHETOP^{\mathbb{T}}$.

The Oakley OO^{TM} ("double-O") eyewear line represents a departure from the Company's traditional geometries of wrapped, raked-back frames and is thereby intended to address previously untapped markets. Newly released in 2000, $OO \bullet A^{\text{TM}}$ and $OO \bullet E^{\text{TM}}$ are designed with orbital geometries based on the Company's highly successful *A Wire*[®] and *E Wire*[®] models. Another new member of the *Wires*^{\text{TM}} family, *C Wire*^{\text{TM}} features the Company's first spring hinge design.

Other successful styles in the *Wires*TM family were enhanced with dimensional bridge architecture and fitted with refined earstems on retooled hinges. They were released in 2000 as the new *A Wire*[®], *E Wire*[®] 2.0 and *Square Wire*[®] 2.0. The new *A Wire*[®] was rendered in two versions, a standard thin design and a frame with wider orbital edgework called *A Wire*[®] *Thick*.

Among the diversified offerings of O Matter[®] frames, $Four^{TM}$ and $Twenty^{TM}$ were new additions in 2000. Oakley $Four^{TM}$ uses four-base curvature to shape the lenses in a distinctive plane in front of the eyes. Augmented with true metal icons, the new frame features a sculptural hinge design. The new $Twenty^{TM}$ also features true metal icons, added to enhance aesthetics and deter counterfeiting. A new member of the popular $Frogskin^{®}$ family of eyewear, $Twenty^{TM}$ is the first O Matter[®] frame to include both an $Unobtainium^{®}$ nosepiece and $Unobtainium^{®}$ earsocks.

Eye Jacket[®] 2.0 features the Company's first flush-mount lens orbitals. This innovation was inspired by the crystal of the Company's *Time Bomb*TM wristwatch, and the aesthetics of the watch's band links can be seen in the segmented earstems of the frame. Raising the lenses to the frame surface required the Company to invent new production technologies of Computer Numeric Controlled edging in order to achieve an exact fit and maintain impact protection synonymous with the brand.

Based on the breakthrough design of the Company's *Racing Jacket*[®], *Water Jacket*^{$^{\text{M}}$} combines the clarity of performance optics with unsurpassed protection against ultraviolet light and impact, and is designed for use in water recreation. A specially designed H2O strap keeps the frame securely in place, and a newly formulated hydrophobic solution enhances performance by making water bead off the lenses.

At the 2000 Olympic Games, the world was introduced to a complete reinvention of the concept of eyewear. $OVERTHETOP^{\text{TM}}$ is a lightweight optical frame that extends over the top of the head instead of around the sides like conventional eyewear. Featuring flush-mount lens orbitals, the hingeless frame wraps the cranium in secure comfort with soft *Unobtainium*[®] at contact points. The Company's eyewear products are listed below:

 $\frac{Frogskins^{(B)}}{Frogskins^{(B)}}$ $Fives^{(TM)}$ $Tens^{(TM)}$ $Twenty^{(TM)}$

Jackets[®]

Minute[™] Moon[™] Eye Jacket[®] Eye Jacket[®] 2.0 Racing Jacket[®] Straight Jacket[®] Water Jacket[™]

<u>M Frames[®]</u>

M Frame[®] Pro M Frame[®]

<u>Zeros[®]</u> Zeros[®]

 $\begin{array}{c} \underline{OO}^{^{\mathrm{TM}}}\\ \overline{OO}^{^{\mathrm{TM}}}\\ OO^{^{\mathrm{TM}}}Square\\ OO{\bullet}A^{^{\mathrm{TM}}}\\ OO{\bullet}E^{^{\mathrm{TM}}} \end{array}$

Wires

 $E Wire^{TM}$ $E Wire^{TM} 2.0$ $T Wire^{TM}$ $Square Wire^{®}$ $Square Wire^{®} 2.0$ $A Wire^{TM}$ $C Wire^{TM}$

X Metal[®]

Romeo[®] MarsTM JulietTM XXTM

 $Oakley Four^{TM}$

$\frac{OVERTHETOP}{OVERTHETOP^{^{TM}}}$

Date Introduced

April 1985 (*updated December 1996*) April 1997 August 1998 March 2000

Date Introduced

May 1998 July 1998 Late 1994 (updated August 1999) July 2000 January 1998 May 1996 (updated November 1999) July 2000

Date Introduced

March 1990 (*updated May 1999*) November 1996

<u>Date Introduced</u> Late 1993 (updated May 1999)

Date Introduced

June 1999 June 1999 April 2000 April 2000

<u>Date Introduced</u>

October 1993 (updated May 2000) May 2000 August 1994 (updated May 2000) June 1996 (updated May 2000) May 2000 May 1998 (updated May 2000) April 2000

Date Introduced

February 1997 March 1998 February 1999 December 1999

Date Introduced

November 2000

Date Introduced November 2000

<u>U.S. Suggested Retail Price</u> \$60–120 \$60–120 \$75–145 \$95–155

U.S. Suggested Retail Price

\$80-150 \$100-130 \$80-150 \$100-185 \$125-150 \$85-180 \$185

U.S. Suggested Retail Price

\$100–170 \$100–195

<u>U.S. Suggested Retail Price</u> \$85–125

<u>U.S. Suggested Retail Price</u> \$170–190 \$170–190 \$190 \$190

U.S. Suggested Retail Price

\$130 (discontinued) \$135 \$225 \$135 (discontinued) \$135–190 \$135–190 \$150

U.S. Suggested Retail Price

\$275 \$275–315 \$275–400 \$275–325

<u>U.S. Suggested Retail Price</u> \$70–80

<u>U.S. Suggested Retail Price</u> \$175

Goggle Technology and Products

The culmination of more than 20 years in the goggle business has resulted in the world's most optically correct goggles for motocross, mountain bike, snow and water recreation. Available in a number of styles, the Company's goggles include features such as scratch-resistant Lexan[®] lenses, conical frames and multi-layered face foams. Updated in 2000, the Company's *MX O Frame*[®] continues to improve upon its championship legacy in motocross. The *A Frame*[®] is the world's first optically correct dual-lens snow goggle and is engineered to optimize protection, as well as the clarity and range of peripheral and downward vision. A triple layer of face foam insulates and cushions the contact surface for the ultimate in thermal shielding and comfort.

<u>Goggles</u>	Date Introduced	<u>U.S. Suggested Retail Price</u>
Motocross	1980 (updated March 2000)	\$35-60
Snow	1983	\$30-120
H_20	1990	\$37-60

Face Shields

In June 1997, Oakley acquired One Xcel, Inc., a company that designs, markets and distributes the only optically correct protective face shield available for use with sports helmets. Oakley continues to maintain a licensing relationship with the National Hockey League (NHL) for this product line.

Footwear Technology

With continued advancement in the design and manufacture of footwear, the Company is utilizing proprietary *Net Shape*TM technology to create shoes with superior fit throughout the full range of motion. Instead of creating parts separately and forcing their consolidation, true unibody construction is achieved with CAD/CAM engineering, allowing components to form an integrated system. A design change in any part of the shoe is seamlessly integrated into other components, and finalized data is passed directly to production equipment. This translates to improved functionality and comfort, as well as enhanced durability by preventing weaknesses that could result from misaligned or mismatched components.

Additional innovations in design and manufacture achieve superior performance, comfort and durability in the Company's footwear offerings. Premium materials and proprietary structural designs are used to achieve cushioning properties that exceed industry standards. Usage life is maximized by minimal compression set, which allows components to resist permanent deformation. Comprehensive moisture control systems are matched with structural designs and materials that offer high levels of vapor transport to help alleviate the buildup of perspiration. Raised outsole designs enhance lateral protection and encapsulate midsoles rather than leaving them exposed. Engineered for specific performance applications, an array of shoe lasts allows the Company to tune performance in each footwear line.

Footwear Products

The first model of the Company's footwear was introduced in June 1998. With the goal of reinventing the concept of performance footwear, the Company utilized materials that have never before been applied in the industry. Vulcanized rubber was discarded for a unique synthetic, a composite of Kevlar[®] and Oakley *Unobtainium*[®] that parallels the traction technology of racing tires. A breathable lattice of high-tenacity *O Matter*[®] was interwoven with Kevlar[®] for the shoe upper, and breakthroughs such as three-point triangulated sole geometry and independent torsion response were added to enhance performance.

Eight new footwear styles were released in the year 2000. They include $Flesh^{TM}$ and $Flesh Sandal^{TM}$, $Nail^{TM}$,

 $Flesh^{\mathbb{M}}$ and $Flesh Sandal^{\mathbb{M}}$ blend the *Traction Pod* technology of the Company's *ShoeThree*^{\mathbb{M}} footwear with top-grain leather and *Aeroprene*, a pliable synthetic that maintains comfort by releasing heat buildup. Monolithic soles provide nearly a full inch of cellular padding beneath the foot while water-wicking synthetics control moisture.

The high-performance $Nail^{\text{TM}}$ line incorporates the latest technical advances in footwear manufacture. $Nail^{\text{TM}}$ Low-Top, Mid-Top and High-Top feature raised outsole architectures that encapsulate and protect the midsole. Moisture transport liners use directional draw to pull perspiration away from skin. Lasting boards are enhanced with an additional 2mm of shock absorbing urethane and all three designs include premium urethane insoles.

The performance tread of the Company's premium $Nail^{\mathbb{M}}$ footwear line was used to create *Flat-Top* $Nail^{\mathbb{M}}$. Enhanced with water-wicking synthetics to draw away moisture, the flexible skin combines pliable *Aeroprene* with supple leather. A raised outsole encapsulates the midsole and improves durability, and urethane enhances shock absorption.

The monolithic soles of the *Pit Shoe*^{$^{TM}} and$ *Pit Boot* $^{<math>^{TM}} are built from a substance more durable yet lighter than rubber. Raised sidewalls improve impact protection as ergonomic contouring and plush padding maximize comfort. Unlike competitors' materials that pound down after a few weeks of wear, true urethane maintains shock absorption. Water-wicking synthetics provide comprehensive moisture control.</sup>$ </sup>

Kevlar[®] is a registered trademark of DuPont.

The Company's current footwear products are listed below:

<u>Footwear</u>	<u>Date Introduced</u>	<u>U.S. Suggested Retail Price</u>
$O Shoe^{TM}$	June 1998	\$125 (discontinued)
ShoeTwo [™]	June 1999 (updated Spring 2001)	\$85
ShoeThree TM	November 1999	\$99
<i>Flesh</i> TM	June 2000	\$75
$Flesh^{TM}$ Sandal	June 2000	\$80
Nail [™] Low-Top	June 2000	\$85
Nail [™] Mid-Top	June 2000	\$95
Nail [™] High-Top	June 2000	\$120
Pit Boot	June 2000	\$115
Pit Shoe	June 2000	\$100
Flat-Top Nail TM	October 2000	\$80

Three new lines are planned for a spring 2001 release, including a performance off-road shoe to be known as the *Saw* series, plus a series of aquatic sandals called *Smoke*. Additionally, *ShoeTwoTM* will receive an updated, newly embossed and sculpted look and will be known as *Shoe 2.1TM*.

Wristwatch Technology

The Company's premier wristwatch line features *World Movement*^{$^{\text{M}}$}, the culmination of advances in gearing, bearings and microcircuitry from around the globe. The products combine digital quartz accuracy with an intuitive analog design. They include a new Oakley invention, a precision flywheel mechanism called the *O Engine*^{$^{\text{M}}}$ which operates an *Inertial Generator*^{$^{\text{M}}$}. With the means to convert motion into electricity, the Company has created a self-powering timepiece that never needs winding or batteries. Precision crafting is extended to the full-metal band, with unique positional geometry applied to each segment in order to enhance ergonomic flex.</sup>

In September 2000, Oakley released D1, the Company's first digital performance watch. Developed for the training requirements of professional athletes, a proprietary software engine drives integrated circuitry with the precision of digital calibration. An expanded memory core allows the logic module to store comprehensive timing data. A unique array of liquid crystal diodes maximizes display contrast and includes electroluminescent backlighting for low-light conditions. A matrix of aramid fiber and resin, the composite casing is anchored to the wrist by a comfortable *Unobtainium*[®] strap and a stainless steel buckle.

Wristwatch Products

The sculptural metal casings of the Company's *Time Bomb*TM, *Icon*TM and *Icon Small*TM wristwatches are available in distinctive finishes: $X Metal^{TM}$ Titanium, Ion-Plated Stainless and Hand Polished Titanium. Limited editions are available also, including a *Time Bomb*TM version rendered fully in 18-karat gold. Five new versions of the Company's premier styles were released in 2000, including a Cannon Red dial face *Time Bomb*TM and a Polished Titanium *Icon Small*TM with a gold dial face. The Company's digital sports watch is available in an assortment of hues.

The Company's current timepiece products are listed below:

<u>Watch</u>	Date Introduced	<u>U.S. Suggested Retail Price</u>
Time $Bomb^{TM}$	December 1998	\$1300-1500
Icon TM	November 1999	\$1300
$Icon Small^{^{TM}}$	November 1999	\$1200
Dl	September 2000	\$180

Apparel and Accessory Technology

Addressing both male and female apparel needs, the Company has invested in a world-class lab that allows 100% in-house testing, research and development of garment products. Digital technologies allow the Company to design and create in three dimensions. All pieces are engineered to fit the body as contoured spatial forms, not flat cutouts, so articulation and fit can be maximized. The Company is utilizing core technologies to build technical outerwear for professional competition, and thereby achieve crossover into technical lifestyle. Innovations that enhance product durability, performance and comfort for professional athletes are transcended to the general public.

Engineered to provide superior climate resistance and unparalleled comfort, the Company's apparel achieves full performance benefits without added bulk or excess weight. Articulation provides ergonomic flexibility while triple-needle stitching and thermal seam seal taping maintain rugged durability. Textiles are enhanced with performance features that may include shielding from ultraviolet light, fast-drying properties and water-wicking capabilities for comprehensive moisture control, and stretch resistance to maintain resilience.

Laminated membranes and unique coating formulations shield the Company's technical apparel from environmental moisture with no loss in garment breathability. This provides optimal comfort by minimizing perspiration buildup. The Company's membrane technology achieves 25,000mm water resistance, equivalent to supporting an 82-foot column of fluid without leaking. The breathability of the Company's top textiles surpasses the industry standard by 47% on ASTM vapor transport test E96B.

Additional enhancements maximize performance in the Company's apparel offerings. To maintain comfort, adjustable venting is engineered into specific anatomical zones that are prone to heat buildup. The rapid dissipation of heat and moisture is augmented by performance textiles that maintain the breathability of vapor transport. Dimensional panels of *Bio Foam* are used to shield critical areas. These multi-density structures absorb and dissipate the force of impact. When weather conditions change, the adaptable architecture of the Company's apparel allows instant acclimation.

Apparel designs currently in development are slated to include advances that will further improve performance. During high activity, microcapsules in the Company's premium technical wear will cool the body by drawing away and storing excess heat. The stored thermal energy will be returned when body temperature drops, improving resistance to cold climates. Utilizing a unique phase-change material, this technology of reversible heat storage was developed by NASA.

Apparel and Accessory Products

The Company released 132 apparel styles during the year 2000. Spring 2000 apparel releases included 40 new styles and featured four styles of men's jackets, two styles of women's jackets, a style of warm-ups, seventeen styles of men's and women's base-layer technical wear, a style of men's shorts and men's sweat pants, a style of women's shorts and women's sweatshirt, two styles of men's sweatshirts, and ten styles of men's and women's T-shirts.

Fall apparel releases for 2000 featured nine styles of men's technical outerwear, four styles of women's technical outerwear, four styles of men's technical wear, four styles of men's base-layer technical wear, three styles of women's base-layer technical wear, five styles of men's jackets, five styles of women's jackets, three styles of men's pants, three styles of women's pants, five styles of men's tops, ten styles of women's tops, three sweat sets, one style of men's mid-layer top, five styles of women's mid-layer top, two styles of men's sweatshirts, one style of women's sweatshirt, one style of men's sweatshirts, and seven styles of hats.

Technical accessory releases include performance backpacks ($O Pack^{TM}$ and $PackTwo^{TM}$), sports bags and eyewear cases. A new medium-size duffle bag was released in spring 2000.

For 2001, the spring apparel line is slated to include more than 80 styles. The fall 2001 apparel line is expected to include close to 90 styles.

Manufacturing

The Company's headquarters and manufacturing facility is located in Foothill Ranch, Orange County, California, where it assembles and produces most of its products. The Company owns, operates and maintains most of the equipment used in manufacturing its eyewear products. In-house production can contribute significantly to gross profit margins and offers protection against piracy. It further enables the Company to produce products in accordance with its strict quality-control standards. Components and processes that are unlikely to add significant value are contracted to outside vendors. For example, the Company utilizes third party manufacturing to produce its internally designed footwear and apparel.

Much of the equipment used in the manufacture of Oakley products has been specially designed and adapted for the processes used by the Company. By manufacturing its own products, the Company has the opportunity to experiment with new materials and technologies which can lead to important discoveries, such as its patented *Iridium* coating technology (which the Company believes is one of the most sophisticated coating processes in the industry). Proprietary manufacturing equipment and methodologies are protected by special security measures employed at the Company's manufacturing facilities.

The Company has built strong relationships with its major suppliers. With most suppliers, it maintains agreements that prohibit disclosure of any of the Company's proprietary information or technology to third parties. Although the Company relies on outside suppliers for the specific molded components of its glasses and goggles, the Company retains substantial ownership of all molds used in the production of the components. The Company believes that most components can be obtained from one or more alternative sources within a relatively short period of time.

The Company relies on a single source for the supply of several components, including the uncoated lens blanks from which virtually all of the Company's lenses are cut. In the event of the loss of the source for lens blanks, the Company has identified an alternate source that may be available. The effect of the loss of any of these sources (including any possible disruption in business) will depend primarily upon the length of time necessary to find a suitable alternative source and could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business. There can be no assurance that, if necessary, an additional source of supply for lens blanks can be located or developed in a timely manner. In March 1997, the Company entered into a reciprocal exclusive dealing agreement with Gentex, its lens blank supplier, under which Oakley has the exclusive right to purchase, from such supplier, decentered sunglass lenses and a scratch-resistant coating developed for use with such lenses. In return, Oakley has agreed to purchase all of its decentered lens requirements, subject to certain exceptions, from such supplier. The Company's business interruption insurance policy reimburses the Company for certain losses incurred by the Company, up to a maximum of \$30 million, as a result of an interruption in the supply of raw materials, including uncoated lens blanks, resulting from direct physical loss or damage to a supplier's premises, subject to certain exceptions. However, there can be no assurance that such policy will be sufficient to compensate the Company for all losses resulting from an interruption in the supply of raw materials.

As announced in late 1999, the Company restructured its footwear business in order to reduce its in-house manufacturing investment and supplement it with select manufacturers for component and complete-unit production. The Company's principal outside manufacturer was chosen on the basis of its ability to leverage the Company's integrated CAD/CAM technologies, its flexibility and capability to support future product developments and its ability to maintain the levels of quality and innovation synonymous with the Oakley brand. In connection with restructuring, the Company recorded an \$8.2 million after-tax charge in the fourth quarter of 1999 to reflect the disposal of excess footwear manufacturing equipment, the write-down of footwear inventory and raw materials, and other charges incidental to this restructuring. The restructuring plan was completed in October 2000 with no revisions to the charge recorded. For information on the Company's restructuring charge, see Note 12 in *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*.

Distribution

The Company sells Oakley eyewear in the United States through a carefully selected base of approximately 8,600 active accounts as of December 31, 2000 with approximately 12,000 locations comprised of optical stores, sunglass retailers and specialty sports stores, including bike, surf, ski and golf shops and motorcycle, running and sporting goods stores. Unlike most of its competitors, the Company has elected not to sell its products through discount stores, drug stores or traditional mail-order companies.

The Company's current level of distribution, with the addition of key niche retailers— most notably in the premium optical and footwear specialty channels — is expected to be capable of accommodating expanding sales while maintaining the discoverability of Oakley products by consumers. This distribution philosophy provides retailers with a degree of exclusivity for Oakley products that has increased brand loyalty and encouraged retailers to display Oakley products in prominent shelf space. The noticeable absence of the Company's products from discount stores, drug stores and traditional mail-order catalogs has contributed to the Company's image as an exclusive producer of high-quality products.

The Company's products are currently sold in over 70 countries outside the United States. In most of continental Europe, marketing and distribution are handled directly by the Company's Oakley Europe subsidiary, located near Paris, France, which is staffed by approximately 130 employees who perform sports marketing, advertising, telemarketing, shipping and accounting functions. Since 1995, the Company has been selling Oakley products to Mexico and Central America on a direct basis through its subsidiary Oakley Mexico. In 1996, the Company acquired its exclusive distributor in the United Kingdom ("Oakley UK") and established an office in South Africa ("Oakley Africa") and began selling to those markets on a direct basis in the fourth quarter of 1996. In May 1997, the Company began selling to Japan ("Oakley Japan") on a direct basis through its own operation. In April 1998, the Company acquired the Oakley division of its exclusive Canadian distributor, enabling the Company to market and sell its products on a direct responsibility for distribution of Oakley products in that market and in New Zealand. In June 2000, the Company assumed direct responsibility for distribution of Oakley products in

the Austrian market and opened a new office known as Oakley GmbH in Munich, Germany to serve the Germanic markets.

In those parts of the world not serviced by the Company or its subsidiaries, Oakley products are sold through distributors who possess local expertise. These distributors sell the Company's products either exclusively or with complementary, non-competing products. They agree to respect the marketing philosophy and practices of the Company and to receive extensive training regarding such philosophies and practices. For information regarding the Company's operations by geographic region, see Notes 10 and 11 in *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*.

The Company requires its retailers and distributors to agree not to resell or divert Oakley products through unauthorized channels of distribution. Products shipped from Oakley's headquarters are marked with a tracking code that allows the Company to determine the source of diverted products sold by unauthorized retailers or distributors. When Oakley products are found at undesirable locations or unauthorized retailers, the Company purchases samples and, using the tracking device, determines the source of the diversion. The Company then estimates the potential damage to the Company's retail franchise and image and may require that the offending account repurchase the diverted product or post a nonrefundable bond against future diversion. In certain instances, the Company may terminate the account. When an existing account has been terminated, the Company may repurchase its own products from the retailer to protect the Oakley image and the exclusivity enjoyed by the Company's retail account base. The Company employs similar anti-diversion techniques in overseas markets.

Customer Service

Oakley strives to support its products with the best customer service in the industry. With a staff of approximately 160 employees, the Customer Service group promptly and courteously responds to customer inquiries, concerns and warranty claims.

The Company provides a one-year limited warranty against manufacturer's defects in its eyewear. All authentic Oakley watches are warranted for one year against manufacturer's defects when purchased from an authorized Oakley watch dealer.

Advertising and Promotion

Oakley retains significant control over its promotional programs and believes it is able to deliver a consistent, well-recognized advertising message at substantial cost savings compared to complete reliance on outside agencies.

While the Company uses traditional marketing methods in some instances, it attributes much of its success to the use of less conventional methods, including sports marketing, targeted product allocation, advertorials and in-store display aids. The Company has used sports marketing extensively to achieve consistent, authentic exposure that equates to strong brand recognition on a global level. Oakley utilizes the exposure generated by its athletes as an "editorial" endorsement of product performance and style, as opposed to a commercial endorsement.

The sports marketing division consists of 17 sports marketing experts domestically, with an additional 30 managers positioned in direct offices and with distributors internationally. These experts specialize in multiple sport and entertainment market segments and niches. The mission of the Company's sports marketing experts is to identify and develop relationships with top athletes and opinion leaders in the sports industry, negotiate their endorsement agreements and help them serve as ambassadors by educating them on the performance functions and benefits of Oakley products. This strategy has proven to gain editorial exposure that ultimately brings awareness and authenticity to the brand. The diverse knowledge of Oakley's sports marketing experts earns the respect of pro athletes even in alternative sports such as

surf and snowboard, yet continues to successfully expand the Company's reach in more mainstream sports such as golf.

The Company has developed a secondary level of promotion with its Scope Program. Through demonstration and lecture, trained technicians educate consumers on the health and performance benefits of Oakley products by imparting technical information in layman's terms. Venues for these presentations include key retail stores, trade shows, high-traffic locations and regional sporting events.

The third level of marketing is advertising. Products are promoted through print media, outdoor media, in-store visual displays and other point-of-purchase materials. Promotion includes packaging, mailers, catalogs, billboards, the Internet and other media. The Company considers many factors in evaluating these marketing opportunities. In addition to cost effectiveness, analytical criteria include the ability to engage new market opportunities, build image, enhance the stature of the brand and reinforce brand identity.

In May 2000, the Company announced the redirection of its strategic relationship with VitroRobertson, its advertising agency of record. Increased operating efficiencies and the capabilities of the Company's graphic's department have allowed the Company to bring the creative development sector in-house. VitroRobertson will continue its role in media buying and planning, with the Company further evaluating the possibility of expansion of VitroRobertson's role in media planning for the Company's international markets.

During the past year, the Company further expanded its direct marketing efforts. In addition to the Official Oakley Catalog, direct to consumer mailers were produced to announce new categories and products and to inform consumers of the Company's internet site. The Company's direct marketing team began working closely with key accounts, such as Sunglass Hut, Sports Chalet, Finish Line and Gaylans, to produce mailers geared specifically to their customers. The Company's first *O Store* opened its doors in July 1999 for business in Irvine, California. As the first official Oakley retail outlet, it sells the full range of Oakley products and features marketing enhancements, such as displays of the proprietary technologies that are the hallmark of the brand.

Comprehensive Internet Strategy

The Company continues to execute a comprehensive Internet channel strategy designed to allow more consumers to purchase Oakley products as efficiently as possible. The program utilizes the World Wide Web as a complementary channel to retail and international distributors. Ultimate goals include increased consumer awareness of the Oakley brand, improved customer service and increased sales through retail and E-commerce channels by harnessing the unique interactive capabilities of the Internet.

The Company's corporate Web site, www.oakley.com, was extensively redesigned in October 1999. Fully E-commerce capable, the site features the entire line of Oakley eyewear, footwear, apparel, accessories and wristwatches for delivery to U.S. customers and in November 2000, expanded its selling capabilities to Canadian customers. The site also features a prominent dealer-locator function that directs consumers to local retailers. With improvements in place, the Company is capitalizing on the diverse opportunities offered by Internet commerce.

The Company's fully integrated technology platform and operational capabilities place it in a strong position as a manufacturer equipped for in-house order processing and timely fulfillment. The Internet strategy is enabled by a front-end technology platform featuring servers and hardware from Sun Microsystems, database management tools from Oracle Corp., content management tools from Vignette, and hosting services by Exodus Communications. These tools allow the Company to utilize the power of the Internet without relying on outsourced fulfillment operations or building a fulfillment and customer service center from scratch. Front-end technologies have been integrated with its Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems provided by SAP AG. The Company has implemented SAP's order processing,

manufacturing, inventory management, distribution and finance modules in its key worldwide locations in the United States, Canada, Japan, France and Germany. This has created an efficient, streamlined supplychain process capable of providing same-day or next-day shipping of in-stock orders.

The Company is also utilizing three additional storefronts for commerce. The first involves "click-andmortar" partners who currently offer a select assortment of Oakley products on their Web sites and in their retail stores. These notable sites currently include Sunglass Hut, Recreational Equipment Inc., Sports Chalet and Chaparral Racing. The next virtual storefront consists of select pure E-tailers that sell exclusively over the Internet. Fogdog Sports (<u>www.fogdog.com</u>) and Ashford. Com (<u>www.ashford.com</u>) were the first partnerships created in December 1999, with Swell Inc. (<u>www.Swell.com</u>) added in November 2000.

Oakley regularly evaluates additional E-tail partners for inclusion in this program and plans to make future announcements as they are selected. The final storefront includes carefully chosen affiliates. Under this program, qualifying partners will be authorized to place on their Web site a hyperlink which will take a visitor directly to Oakley's corporate Web site, <u>www.oakley.com</u>.

Principal Customers

During 2000, net sales to the Company's ten largest customers, which included four international distributors, accounted for approximately 27.6% of the Company's total net sales. Net sales to one customer, Sunglass Hut, the largest sunglass specialty retailer in the world, accounted for approximately 21.0% of the Company's 2000 net sales. Such sales do not include those sales to Sunglass Hut locations outside the United States that are made by the Company's independent international distributors. At December 31, 2000, Oakley independent distributors serviced 4 of the 1,737 Sunglass Hut locations worldwide. In February 2001, Sunglass Hut announced that it had entered into a definitive merger agreement with Luxottica Group S.p.A. ("Luxottica"), one of the Company's largest competitors, under which Luxottica will acquire Sunglass Hut in a cash tender offer and subsequent merger. See "Competition" below.

Intellectual Property

The Company aggressively asserts its rights under patent, trade secret, unfair competition, trademark and copyright laws to protect its intellectual property, including product designs, proprietary manufacturing processes and technologies, product research and concepts and recognized trademarks. These rights are protected through patents and trademark registrations, the maintenance of trade secrets, the development of trade dress, and where appropriate, litigation against those who are, in the Company's opinion, infringing these rights. The Company has filed suit against a number of its competitors to enforce certain of the Company's patents and trademarks. While there can be no assurance that the Company's patents or trademarks fully protect the Company's proprietary information and technologies, the Company intends to continue asserting its intellectual property rights against infringers. The Company has developed a reputation in the sunglass industry as a vigorous defender of its intellectual property rights; this reputation acts as a deterrent against the introduction of potentially infringing products by its competitors and others.

The following table reflects data as of December 31, 2000 concerning the Company's intellectual property:

	Number of Utili	ty/Design Patents	Number of	Trademarks
	Issued	Pending	Issued	Pending
United States	131	26	107	24
International	468	110	691	176

As evidenced above, Oakley is the owner of numerous utility and design patents, both domestically and internationally. Two of the Company's domestic patents expire in 2001, which pertain to products that are either no longer offered for sale at retail or are used on the Company's lower-end goggle designs that are rarely, if ever, copied by infringers. None of the other patents the Company is currently using to enforce its property rights has an expiration date before 2004.

The Company dissuades counterfeiting through the active monitoring of the marketplace by its anticounterfeiting personnel and other employees and through the services provided by outside firms that specialize in anti-counterfeiting measures. The Company's sales representatives, distributors and retailers have also proved to be effective watchdogs against infringing products, frequently notifying the Company of any suspicious products and assisting law enforcement agencies. The Company's sales representatives are educated on Oakley's patents and trade dress, and assist in preventing infringers from obtaining retail shelf space. The Company also etches its logo onto the lenses of its single -lens sunglasses to assist its customers and consumers in detecting counterfeit products.

Competition

The Company is a leading designer, manufacturer and distributor of eyewear in the sports segment of the nonprescription eyewear market. Within this segment, the Company competes with mostly smaller sunglass and goggle companies in various niches of the sports market and a limited number of larger competitors, some of whom have greater financial and other resources than the Company. Some of these niche markets are susceptible to rapid changes in consumer preferences, which could affect acceptance of the Company's products. Oakley believes the vigorous protection of its intellectual property rights has limited the ability of others to compete in this segment. Accordingly, the Company believes that it is the established leader in this segment of the market, although several companies, including Luxottica, Marchon, Safilo and various smaller niche brands compete for the Company's shelf space.

The Company also competes in the broader non-sports, or recreational, segment of the sunglass market, which is fragmented and highly competitive. The major competitive factors include fashion trends, brand recognition, marketing strategies, distribution channels and the number and range of products offered. A number of established companies, including Luxottica, compete in this wider market. In order to retain its market share, the Company must continue to be competitive in quality and performance, technology, method of distribution, style, brand image, intellectual property protection and customer service. In February 2001, Luxottica and Sunglass Hut jointly announced that they have entered into a definitive merger agreement under which Luxottica will acquire Sunglass Hut in a cash tender offer and subsequent merger. The Company believes that its long relationship with Sunglass Hut has been a very beneficial one for both companies, and, based on preliminary discussions the Company has had with Sunglass Hut and Luxottica management, the Company believes that Oakley will continue to be a leading brand within Sunglass Hut worldwide locations. See "*Principal Customers*" above.

Within the athletic footwear and sports apparel market, the Company competes with large, established brands such as Nike, Reebok, Adidas and Fila, which have greater financial and other resources than the Company. In addition to these dominant brands, the Company also competes with smaller niche brands, such as Vans, Skechers and Timberland.

The *Timebomb* competes in the upper-middle and luxury segments of the watch market (respectively categorized by the price points \$300-\$900 and \$1,000 plus) which is dominated by established Swiss brands, including Rolex, Breitling, Gucci, Omega, TAG-Heuer, Movado, Rado and Hamilton. The Company's Performance Series watches compete in the middle segment of the watch market which is characterized by sports watches from Nike, Adidas, Timex and Casio. In addition, the Company's Performance Series watches compete with other fashion brands from the Swatch Group, Swiss Army and Fossil with price points \$50-\$299.

Domestic and Foreign Operations

See Notes 10 and 11 in *Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements* for discussion regarding domestic and foreign operations.

Employees

The Company believes that its employees are among its most valuable resources and have been a key factor in the success of Oakley's products. As of March 21, 2001, the total count of full-time permanent employees worldwide was 1,485. In addition, the Company may utilize as many as 500 temporary personnel from time to time, especially during peak summer months.

The Company is not a party to any labor agreements and none of its employees is represented by a labor union. The Company considers its relationship with its employees to be good and has never experienced a work stoppage.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The Company's principal corporate and manufacturing facility is located in Foothill Ranch, Orange County, California. The facility is approximately 400,000 square feet, with potential to expand into an additional 100,000 square feet. In June 1996, the Company purchased a facility in Nevada of approximately 63,000 square feet for the production of its *X Metal*[®] eyewear. In addition, the Company leases office and warehouse space as necessary to support its operations worldwide, including offices in the UK, Germany, France, Australia, South Africa, Japan, Canada, New Zealand and the state of Washington. The Company owns a business office and warehouse of approximately 18,000 square feet in Mexico City for its operations in Mexico and Central America. The facility was first occupied in late 1998. In December 2000, the Company leased a new 118,000 square foot warehouse and shipping facility in Ontario, California to support the expanding needs of its footwear and apparel lines. The new facility began shipping the Company's spring footwear and apparel lines to retailers in early 2001. The Company believes its current and planned facilities are adequate to carry on its business as currently contemplated.

The Company is subject to federal, state and local environmental laws, regulations and ordinances that (i) govern activities or operations that may have adverse environmental effects (such as emissions to air, discharges to water, and the generation, handling, storage and disposal of solid and hazardous wastes) or (ii) impose liability for the cost of cleanup or other remediation of contaminated property, including damages from spills, disposals or other releases of hazardous substances or wastes, in certain circumstances without regard to fault. The Company's manufacturing operations routinely involve the handling of chemicals and wastes, some of which are or may become regulated as hazardous substances. The Company has not incurred, and does not expect to incur, any significant expenditures or liabilities for environmental matters. As a result, the Company believes that its environmental obligations will not have a material adverse effect on its operations or financial position.

In response to California's current power crisis and the resulting increase in electricity costs, the Company entered into a month-to-month lease agreement in late 2000 for the rental of four generators to protect against unforeseen blackouts, including blackouts forecasted for summer 2001. Also, by utilizing these generators, the Company would avoid any fines for excess power usage under its I-6 Tariff agreement with Southern California Edison. The Company believes that these generators will supply enough capacity to generate the electricity necessary for the Company to operate. The Company is currently reviewing its options for self-power generation as a longer-term alternative to the use of leased generators. Although the Company has taken these steps to ensure the availability of adequate power for its operations, there can be no assurances that the Company will find a viable alternative to the lease of generators or that California's current power crisis will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The California Securities Actions

The Company and certain of its officers and directors were named as defendants in three putative class action lawsuits (the "California Securities Actions") filed in December 1996 in the California Superior Court for the County of Orange (the "Superior Court"). The cases were captioned:

<u>Yosef S. Rosenshein v. Oakley, Inc., Mike Parnell, Link Newcomb and Jim Jannard</u>, Case No. 773051 (filed December 17, 1996);

Herschel Harman v. Oakley, Inc., Mike Parnell, Link Newcomb and Jim Jannard, Case No. 773053 (filed December 17, 1996); and

Eric Sher, Harold Baron and David O. Eckert v. Oakley, Inc., Mike Parnell, Link Newcomb, Jim Jannard, Merrill Lynch & Co. and Alex. Brown & Sons, Inc., Case No. 773366 (filed December 24, 1996).

The plaintiffs sought to represent a class of persons who purchased the Company's common stock between March 22, 1996 and December 5, 1996. The operative complaint in the California Securities Actions alleged claims for violations of the antifraud provisions of the California Corporations Code, unfair business practices and false advertising in violation of certain provisions of the California Business and Professions Code, fraud and negligent misrepresentation. The plaintiffs' claims were based on alleged material misstatements and omissions in certain of the Company's public statements, Securities and Exchange Commission filings and in the reports of third-party analysts regarding the Company's retail distribution practices, market conditions, new product developments and extensions of existing product lines, business with Sunglass Hut and earnings prospects. The plaintiffs sought unspecified damages and other relief against the Company and the other defendants.

The plaintiffs in the California Securities Actions also asserted claims against Merrill Lynch & Co. ("Merrill Lynch") and Alex. Brown and Sons, Inc. ("Alex. Brown"), which served as the U.S. Representatives of the U.S. Underwriters of the June 6, 1996 offering of five million shares of common stock of the Company by certain of its shareholders (the "Secondary Offering"). By letter dated February 7, 1997, counsel for Merrill Lynch and Alex. Brown gave the Company notice pursuant to the indemnification provisions of the U.S. Purchase Agreement dated June 6, 1996, for the Secondary Offering that they were asserting a claim for indemnification under such provisions and requested that the Company reimburse Merrill Lynch and Alex. Brown on a current basis for their attorneys' fees and expenses incurred in defending the California Securities Actions. Counsel for Merrill Lynch and Alex. Brown subsequently indicated that this claim for indemnification also applies to attorneys' fees and expenses incurred in defending the Federal Securities Actions (described below).

Pursuant to the terms of the settlement in the Federal Securities Action (described below), the California Securities Action was dismissed on a with prejudice basis in February 2001.

The Federal Securities Actions

The Company and certain of its officers and directors were named as defendants in five putative class action lawsuits (the "Federal Securities Actions") filed in October, November and December 1997 in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Southern Division (the "District Court"). The cases were captioned:

Kensington Capital Management v. Oakley, Inc., Mike Parnell, Link Newcomb, Jim Jannard, Merrill Lynch & Co. and Alex. Brown & Sons Incorporated, No. SACV 97-808 GLT (EEx) (filed October 10, 1997) (the "Kensington Capital Management Action"); Frank Lister, James J. Scotella, Raymond E. Neveau, James S. Lewinski, Jack Rosenson and Lee Sperling v. Oakley, Inc., Mike Parnell, Link Newcomb, Jim Jannard, Merrill Lynch & Co. and Alex. Brown & Sons Incorporated, No. SACV 97-809 GLT (EEx) (filed October 10, 1997) (the "Lister Action");

<u>Stuart Chait and Marilyn Schwartz v. Oakley, Inc., Mike Parnell, Link Newcomb, Jim Jannard,</u> <u>Merrill Lynch & Co. and Alex. Brown & Sons, Incorporated</u>, No. SACV 97-829 GLT (EEx) (filed October 20, 1997) (the "<u>Chait</u> Action");

Val Fichera v. Oakley, Inc., Mike Parnell, Link Newcomb, Jim Jannard, Merrill Lynch & Co. and <u>Alex. Brown and Sons Incorporated</u>, No. SACV 97-928 GLT (EEx) (filed November 17, 1997 (the "<u>Fichera</u> Action"); and

<u>Yosef J. Rosenshein and Hershel Harman v. Oakley, Inc., Mike Parnell, Link Newcomb, Jim Jannard,</u> <u>Merrill Lynch & Co. and Alex. Brown & Sons Incorporated</u>, No. SACV 97-993 GLT (EEx) (filed December 5, 1997 (the "<u>Rosenshein</u> Federal Action").

The plaintiffs in the <u>Kensington Capital Management</u> and the <u>Fichera</u> Actions sought to represent a class of persons who purchased the Company's common stock in the Secondary Offering and allege claims for violations of sections 11, 12(a)(2) and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933. The plaintiffs' claims were based on alleged material misstatements and omissions in the prospectus issued and registration statement filed in connection with the Secondary Offering regarding the Company's retail distribution practices, market conditions, new product developments and extensions of existing product lines, business with Sunglass Hut and quality control standards. The plaintiffs sought unspecified damages and other relief against the Company and the other defendants.

The plaintiffs in the <u>Lister</u> and <u>Chait</u> Actions and the <u>Rosenshein</u> Federal Action sought to represent a class of persons who purchased the Company's common stock between March 22, 1996 and December 5, 1996, including the Secondary Offering, and allege claims for violations of sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder. The plaintiffs' claims were based on alleged material misstatements and omissions in certain of the Company's public statements, Securities and Exchange Commission filings and in the reports of third-party analysts regarding the Company's retail distribution practices, market conditions, new product developments and extensions of existing product lines, business with Sunglass Hut, earnings prospects and quality control standards. The plaintiffs sought unspecified damages and other relief against the Company and the other defendants.

In August 2000, the parties entered into a Stipulation of Settlement dated as of August 28, 2000 to resolve the Federal Securities Actions. Among other things, the Stipulation of Settlement provided for the dismissal with prejudice of the Federal Securities Actions upon final approval of the settlement by the District Court. On December 19, 2000, the District Court finally approved the settlement and entered orders and judgments dismissing the Federal Securities Actions with prejudice in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation of Settlement. Amounts paid under the Stipulation of Settlement were funded entirely by the Company's insurance carrier.

In addition, the Company is a party to various claims, complaints and other legal actions that have arisen in the normal course of business from time to time. The Company believes the outcome of these pending legal proceedings, in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the operations or financial position of the Company.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

<u>Part II</u>

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS

The Company's common stock, par value \$.01 per share ("Common Stock"), began trading on the New York Stock Exchange on August 10, 1995 upon completion of the Company's initial public offering (trading symbol "OO"). As of March 21, 2001, the closing sales price for the Common Stock was \$17.09. The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices for the Common Stock for each quarter of 1999 and 2000 on the New York Stock Exchange Composite Tape:

	<u>High</u>	Low		
<u>1999</u>				
First Quarter	\$ 8.3750	\$ 6.9375		
Second Quarter	\$ 8.5625	\$ 6.8750		
Third Quarter	\$ 7.0625	\$ 5.9375		
Fourth Quarter	\$ 6.8750	\$ 5.3125		
<u>2000</u>				
First Quarter	\$ 10.6875	\$ 5.3125		
Second Quarter	\$ 12.4375	\$ 9.3750		
Third Quarter	\$ 20.0000	\$ 10.8750		
Fourth Quarter	\$ 22.5000	\$ 13.1250		

The number of shareholders of record for Common Stock on March 21, 2001 was 568.

Dividend Policy

The Company currently does not pay any dividends on its Common Stock. Any future determination as to the payment of dividends will be at the discretion of the Company's Board of Directors and will depend upon the Company's results of operations, financial condition, contractual restrictions and other factors deemed relevant by the Board of Directors.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table sets forth certain selected financial data regarding the Company which is qualified by reference to, and should be read in conjunction with, the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto. See *Index to Consolidated Financial Statements* and *Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*. The income statement data, supplemental income statement data, income statement data excluding restructure charge and balance sheet data presented below have been derived from the Company's consolidated financial statements. The Company's consolidated income statement data for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998 and balance sheet data as of December 31, 2000 and 1999 included herein have been audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP, the Company's independent auditors, as indicated in their report included elsewhere herein. The selected supplemental income statement data set forth herein are for informational purposes only and may not necessarily be indicative of the Company's future results of operations.

	Year Ended December 31,								
	2000	1999	1998	1997	1996				
		(dollars in thousa	nds, except share	and per share data	a)				
Income Statement Data:									
Net sales	\$ 363,474	\$ 257,872	\$ 231,934	\$ 193,984	\$ 218,566				
Cost of goods sold	138,408	109,338	86,134	75,393	66,790				
Gross profit	225,066	148,534	145,800	118,591	151,776				
Operating expenses:									
Research and development	7,894	6,304	5,231	3,825	4,782				
Selling	90,291	72,184	66,188	53,007	48,092				
Shipping and warehousing	10,005	6,592	6,777	5,721	6,507				
General and administrative	35,612	30,977	26,299	23,032	18,513				
Total operating expenses	143,802	116,057	104,495	85,585	77,894				
Operating income	81,264	32,477	41,305	33,006	73,882				
Interest expense, net	2,723	1,951	2,109	1,181	(781)				
Income before provision for income taxes	78,541	30,526	39,196	31,825	74,663				
Provision for income taxes	27,489	10,684	15,051	12,221	28,670				
Net income	\$ 51,052	\$ 19,842	\$ 24,145	\$ 19,604	\$ 45,993				
Basic net income per share	\$ 0.74	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.64				
Basic weighted average common shares	69,041,000	70,660,000	70,678,000	70,659,000	71,324,000				
Diluted net income per share	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.64				
Diluted weighted average common shares	69,709,000	70,662,000	70,851,000	70,700,000	71,728,000				

	Year ended		
	December 31,		
	1999		
Income Statement Data excluding restructure charge (1):			
Net sales	\$ 257,872		
Cost of goods sold	96,738		
Gross profit	161,134		
Operating expenses:			
Research and development	6,304		
Selling	72,184		
Shipping and warehousing	6,592		
General and administrative	30,977		
Total operating expenses	116,057		
Operating income	45,077		
Interest expense, net	1,951		
Income before provision for income taxes	43,126		
Provision for income taxes	15,094		
Net income	\$ 28,032		
Basic net income per share	\$ 0.40		
Basic weighted average common shares	70,660,000		
Diluted net income per share	\$ 0.40		
Diluted weighted average common shares	70,662,000		
		At December 31,	
2000	1999	1998	199
Balance Sheet Data:			
W	76 \$ 50.047	¢ 45.000	¢ 1

	2000		1999 1998		1997		1996		
Balance Sheet Data:								_	
Working capital	\$	84,176	\$	59,247	\$ 45,602	\$	41,688	\$	36,107
Total assets		302,986		239,350	225,815		181,291		158,245
Total debt		35,746		25,060	34,182		25,201		18,000
Shareholders' equity		208,173		177,837	161,976		136,961		121,437

(1) Amounts reflect adjustments for the elimination of the \$12.6 million charge recorded in 1999 to cost of goods sold in connection with the restructuring of the Company's footwear operations. See Note 12 in *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion includes the operations of Oakley, Inc. and subsidiaries for each of the periods discussed.

Restructuring Charge

In October 1999, the Company's Board of Directors approved strategic initiatives (the "Restructuring Plan") to restructure and refocus the Company's footwear business. Under the Restructuring Plan, the Company substantially reduced its in-house footwear manufacturing operations and partnered with select third-party manufacturers to assist in the development and manufacture of the Company's complete footwear product line. Additionally, the Company increased its emphasis on direct-sales channels to augment sales throughout traditional retail accounts and to broaden accessibility of the Company's products to its customers.

Related to this Restructuring Plan, the Company recorded a charge of \$12.6 million (\$8.2 million, or \$0.12 per share, on an after-tax basis) during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year ended December 31, 1999. The Restructuring Plan was completed as of December 31, 2000 with no revisions to the charge recorded. The charge was included in cost of goods sold for the year ended December 31, 1999, and is comprised of the following components:

	(in	thousands)
Writedown of excess inventories (including inventory associated with product returns)	\$	8,502
Writedown of production equipment to estimated net realizable value		3,592
Other costs associated with Restructuring Plan		506
	\$	12,600

See Note 12 in Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Results of Operations

The following tables set forth operating results in dollars and as a percentage of net sales for the periods indicated. Operating results for 1999 reflect an adjustment for the elimination of the \$12.6 million charge recorded in 1999 to cost of goods sold in connection with the restructuring of the Company's footwear operations. See Note 12 in *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*.

OAKLEY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (dollars in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2000	1999	1998		
Net sales	\$ 363,474	\$ 257,872	\$ 231,934		
Cost of goods sold	138,408	96,738	86,134		
Gross profit	225,066	161,134	145,800		
Operating expenses:					
Research and development	7,894	6,304	5,231		
Selling	90,291	72,184	66,188		
Shipping and warehousing	10,005	6,592	6,777		
General and administrative	35,612	30,977	26,299		
Total operating expenses	143,802	116,057	104,495		
Operating income	81,264	45,077	41,305		
Interest expense, net	2,723	1,951	2,109		
Income before provision for income taxes	78,541	43,126	39,196		
Provision for income taxes	27,489	15,094	15,051		
Net income	\$ 51,052	\$ 28,032	\$ 24,145		
	Yea	ar Ended December	31,		
	2000	1999	1998		
Net sales	100.0 %	6 100.0 %	100.0		
Cost of goods sold	38.1	37.5	37.1		

Net sales	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %
Cost of goods sold	38.1	37.5	37.1
Gross profit	61.9	62.5	62.9
Operating expenses:			
Research and development	2.2	2.4	2.3
Selling	24.8	28.0	28.5
Shipping and warehousing	2.8	2.6	2.9
General and administrative	9.7	12.0	11.4
Total operating expenses	39.5	45.0	45.1
Operating income	22.4	17.5	17.8
Interest expense, net	0.8	0.8	0.9
Income before provision for income taxes	21.6	16.7	16.9
Provision for income taxes	7.6	5.8	6.5
Net income	14.0 %	10.9 %	10.4 %

The Company's sunglass sales before discounts and defective returns were \$283.8 million, \$212.7 million and \$197.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998, respectively. Sunglass unit sales were 4,892,163; 4,037,113; and 3,956,150 for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998, respectively.

Net sales

Net sales increased to \$363.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2000 from \$257.9 million for the year ended December 31, 1999, an increase of \$105.6 million, or 40.9%. The increase in net sales was primarily attributable to a 33.4%, or \$71.1 million, increase in gross sunglass sales. Gross sunglass sales were \$283.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2000 compared to \$212.7 million for the year ended December 31, 1999. For 2000, the Company experienced a 21.3% increase in sunglass unit volume and a 10.1% increase in average selling prices. The Company attributes the significant gross sunglass sales increase to many factors, including several successful new product introductions in 1999 and 2000, as well as successful sports marketing and advertising support, more timely execution and increased customer fulfillment rates. The increase in gross sunglass sales was driven by strong sunglass sales of the Company's *Minutes* and *X-Metal* line, as well as strong sales of new products offsetting declines in sales of more mature products. The Minutes style was the Company's largest selling sunglass model during 2000 and sales of the X-Metal line increased 182.6% compared to sales for the year ended December 31, 1999. New sunglass introductions since the fourth quarter of 1999, including the new Straight Jacket introduced in late 1999, Twenty, introduced in March 2000, the C-wire, introduced in April 2000, the Square Wire 2.0, introduced in May 2000, and the Eye Jacket 2.0, introduced in July 2000, contributed greatly to the increase in sales, and as a group, represented 24.2% of the Company's gross sunglass sales. Sales of the Company's polarized styles also contributed to the increase in gross sales for the year ended December 31, 2000, more than doubling over the year ended December 31, 1999. Sales from the Company's new product categories, including footwear, apparel, watches and prescription evewear, continued to grow with gross sales increasing 85.5%, or \$25.8 million, for the year ended December 31, 2000 over the year ended December 31, 1999. The Company's U.S. net sales increased 36.2% to \$193.2 million in 2000 from \$141.8 million in 1999, principally as a result of a 44.3% increase in net sales to the Company's broad specialty store account base, while sales to the Company's largest U.S. customer, Sunglass Hut, increased 22.0% to \$62.6 million in 2000 from \$51.3 million in 1999. The Company's international net sales increased \$54.2 million to \$170.3 million in 2000 from \$116.1 million in 1999, a 46.7% increase, as a result of increased sales in all direct operations: Australia, United Kingdom, Europe, Japan, Canada, Mexico and South Africa. Additionally, effective November 1, 1999, the Company acquired its Australian distributor, which contributed to the increase in international net sales for the full year 2000 versus only two months in 1999. Sales from the Company's direct international offices represented 83.3% of total international sales for the year ended December 31, 2000, compared to 75.8% for the comparable 1999 period. On a constant dollar basis, international sales increased approximately 58.5% for the year ended December 31, 2000 over the year ended December 31, 1999.

Gross profit

Gross profit increased to \$225.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2000 from \$148.5 million for the year ended December 31, 1999, an increase of \$76.6 million, or 51.6%. In the fourth quarter of 1999, the Company announced a restructuring of its footwear operations which resulted in a \$12.6 million pretax charge to cost of goods sold. See Note 12 in *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*. Excluding the footwear restructuring charge, gross profit increased to \$225.1 million, or 61.9% of net sales, in 2000 from \$161.1 million, or 62.5% of net sales, in 1999, an increase of \$63.9 million, or 39.7%. The decrease in gross profit as a percentage of net sales, prior to the restructuring charge, is attributable to the negative impact on the margins of the Company's direct international sales from the strengthened U.S. dollar together with the negative impact on gross margin resulting from the increased sales contribution from the new product categories, which generally carry lower gross margins than sunglasses. These reductions to gross profit as a percentage of net sales were partially offset by positive effects of increased sales volume, improved *X-Metal* and footwear margins and the increased rate of gross profit derived from the Company's acquisition of its Australia n distributor in November 1999.

Operating expenses

Operating expenses increased to \$143.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2000 from \$116.1 million for the year ended December 31, 1999, an increase of \$27.7 million, or 23.9%. This increase is generally due to higher variable expenses attributable to increased sales volume along with the greater operating expenses and goodwill amortization in 2000 resulting from the Company's acquisition of its Australian distributor. Research and development expenses increased \$1.6 million to \$7.9 million, or 2.2% of net sales in 2000, from \$6.3 million, or 2.4% of net sales, in 1999, primarily as a result of expanded new product development efforts. Selling expenses increased \$18.1 million to \$90.3 million, or 24.8% of net sales, in 2000, from \$72.2 million, or 28.0% of net sales, in 1999, primarily as a result of increased sales commissions attributable to increased sales, increased sports marketing attributable to new product category expansion and higher advertising expenditures. These increases were partially offset by reduced warranty costs resulting from fewer defective claims. Advertising expenses were greater in 2000 as a result of a strategic decision to increase print advertising for the Oakley brand. This increase resulted from the use of an outside agency for media planning with collaboration between the agency and the Company's in-house creative team for advertising content. The Company believes this increased advertising expenditure contributed greatly to the strong sales increase experienced in 2000 and expects advertising expenses as a percent of net sales to increase in 2001. Shipping and warehousing expenses increased to \$10.0 million, or 2.8% of net sales, in 2000 from \$6.6 million, or 2.6% of net sales, for 1999. This increase is principally due to increased footwear and apparel sales with higher average shipping costs in addition to set-up costs for the new apparel and footwear distribution center in Ontario, California which began shipping in early 2001. General and administrative expenses increased \$4.6 million to \$35.6 million, or 9.7% of net sales, in 2000 from \$31.0 million, or 12.0% of net sales, in 1999. This increase in general and administrative expenses was principally a result of increased personnel-related costs and other costs necessary to manage the Company's growth, general and administrative expenses and goodwill amortization related to the Company's acquisition of its Australian distributor and an increase in provisions for bad debts as a result of the Company's sales growth.

Operating income

The Company's operating income increased to \$81.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2000 from \$32.5 million for the year ended December 31, 1999, an increase of \$48.8 million. Excluding the footwear restructure charge of \$12.6 million in 1999, operating income increased to \$81.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2000 from \$45.1 million for the year ended December 31, 1999, an increase of \$36.2 million. As a percentage of net sales, operating income increased to 22.4% in 2000 from 17.5%, prior to the restructuring charge, in 1999, primarily due to the significant positive operating expense leverage on higher sales volume.

Interest expense, net

The Company had net interest expense of \$2.7 million in 2000, as compared with net interest expense of \$2.0 million in 1999. The increase in interest expense is due to greater balances on the Company's line of credit which was used to finance the Company's growth as well as the Company's share repurchase programs in 2000.

Income taxes

The Company recorded a provision for income taxes of \$27.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2000 compared with \$10.7 million for the year ended December 31, 1999. The Company's effective tax rate of 35% remained the same for the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999. As a result of a one-time tax benefit associated with the Company's foreign operations, the Company's effective tax rate for 2001 is expected to be 30%.

Net income

The Company's net income increased to \$51.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2000 from \$19.8 million for the year ended December 31, 1999, an increase of \$31.3 million, or 158.1%. Excluding the

footwear restructuring after-tax charge of \$8.2 million, net income increased to \$51.1 million for 2000 from \$28.0 million for 1999, an increase of \$23.1 million, or 82.5%.

Year Ended December 31, 1999 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 1998

Net sales

Net sales increased to \$257.9 million for the year ended December 31, 1999 from \$231.9 million for the year ended December 31, 1998, an increase of \$26.0 million, or 11.2%. This increase was the result of strong sales of the Company's Minutes and A Wire models introduced in 1998 and sales of new products, partially offset by declines in sales of more mature products. Sales of the Company's polarized styles also contributed to the increase in net sales with a 28.4%, or \$1.5 million, increase over 1998 polarized sunglass sales. Sales of new sunglass products accounted for 22.1% of gross sunglass sales. New products for 1999 included the X Metal Juliet, introduced in February 1999, the New Zero and M Frame, introduced in May 1999, the OO ("Double O"), introduced in June 1999, the New Eye Jacket, introduced in August 1999, the New Straight Jacket, introduced in November 1999, and the X-Metal XX, introduced in December 1999. For the year ended December 31, 1999, the Company experienced a 2.0% increase in sunglass unit volume and a 6.2% increase in average selling prices. The Company's prescription evewear gross sales increased approximately 100%, or \$2.9 million, to \$5.8 million for the year ended December 31, 1999 from \$2.9 million for the year ended December 31, 1998. Footwear net sales were \$3.0 million, or 1.2% of net sales, in 1999, compared to \$2.6 million, or 1.1% of net sales, in 1998. As a group, gross sales of goggles, apparel and watches increased 29.1%, or approximately \$9.0 million during the year ended December 31, 1999 over the year ended December 31, 1998, primarily due to the Company's expanded apparel program and increased goggle sales attributable to the Company's new A Frame goggle introduced in 1998 and new O Frame goggle introduced in 1999. The Company's U.S. net sales increased 3.8% to \$141.8 million in 1999 from \$136.5 million in 1998, principally as a result of a 11.4% increase in net sales to the Company's broad specialty store account base, while sales to the Company's largest U.S. customer, Sunglass Hut, decreased 7.3% to \$51.3 million in 1999 from \$55.3 million in 1998. The Company's international sales increased \$20.7 million to \$116.1 million in 1999 from \$95.4 million in 1998, a 21.7% increase, as a result of increased sales in all regions with significant sales increases from the Company's direct operations in Europe, United Kingdom, Japan, South Africa, and Canada. Additionally, effective November 1, 1999, the Company acquired its Australian distributor, which contributed to the increase in international sales in the fourth quarter of 1999. Sales from the Company's direct international offices represented 75.8% of total international sales for the year ended December 31, 1999.

Gross profit

Gross profit increased to \$148.5 million for the year ended December 31, 1999 from \$145.8 million for the year ended December 31, 1998, an increase of \$2.7 million, or 1.9%. In the fourth quarter of 1999, the Company announced a restructuring of its footwear operations which resulted in a \$12.6 million pretax charge to cost of goods sold. See Note 12 in *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*. Excluding the footwear restructuring charge of \$12.6 million, gross profit increased to \$161.1 million, or 62.5% of net sales, in 1999 from \$145.8 million, or 62.9% of net sales, in 1998, an increase of \$15.3 million, or 10.5%. Gross profit as a percentage of net sales, prior to the restructuring charge, decreased primarily due to increases in sales of lower margin apparel and goggles, footwear startup costs and increases in provisions for sales returns. These reductions to gross profit as a percentage of net sales were partially offset by positive effects of increased sales volume, the acquisitions of the Company's Canadian and Australian distributors and foreign exchange gains.

Operating expenses

Operating expenses increased to \$116.1 million for the year ended December 31, 1999 from \$104.5 million for the year ended December 31, 1998, an increase of \$11.6 million, or 11.1%. This increase is generally due to higher variable expenses attributable to increased volume, additional footwear operating expenses, and incremental expenses due to the shift to direct operations in Canada and Australia. Research and development expenses increased \$1.1 million to \$6.3 million in 1999 primarily attributable to expanded new product development efforts. Selling expenses increased \$6.0 million to \$72.2 million, or 28.0% of net sales, in 1999, from \$66.2 million, or 28.5% of net sales, in 1998, primarily as a result of increased sales commissions attributable to increased sales and higher advertising expenditures utilized to increase awareness for Oakley products. Shipping and warehousing expenses decreased to \$6.6 million, or 2.6% of net sales, in 1999 from \$6.8 million, or 2.9% of net sales, for 1998. This decrease is principally due to positive results from various initiatives to reduce shipping costs worldwide. General and administrative expenses increased \$4.7 million to \$31.0 million, or 12.0% of net sales, in 1999 from \$26.3 million, or 11.4% of net sales, in 1998, due primarily to severance payments related to the resignation of an officer of the Company, increased personnel costs necessary to manage the Company's growth, and increased amortization of intangible assets related to acquisitions completed in 1998 and 1999. Additionally, 1998 general and administrative expenses included a gain on the sale of a fixed asset and favorable effects of changes in foreign exchange rates which did not occur in 1999.

Operating income

The Company's operating income decreased to \$32.5 million for the year ended December 31, 1999 from \$41.3 million for the year ended December 31, 1998, a decrease of \$8.8 million. Excluding the footwear restructure charge of \$12.6 million, operating income increased to \$45.1 million for the year ended December 31, 1999 from \$41.3 million for the year ended December 31, 1998, an increase of \$3.8 million. As a percentage of net sales, operating income, prior to the restructuring charge, decreased to 17.5% in 1999 from 17.8% in 1998, primarily attributable to the decrease in gross margin.

Interest expense, net

The Company had net interest expense of \$2.0 million in 1999, as compared with net interest expense of \$2.1 million in 1998.

Income taxes

The Company recorded a provision for income taxes of \$10.7 million for the year ended December 31, 1999 compared with \$15.1 million for the year ended December 31, 1998. Due to the Company's international expansion and a reduction in statutory rates for certain foreign jurisdictions, the Company's effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 1999 was reduced to 35.0% from 38.4% in 1998.

Net income

The Company's net income decreased to \$19.8 million for the year ended December 31, 1999 from \$24.1 million for the year ended December 31, 1998, a decrease of \$4.3 million, or 17.8%. Excluding the footwear restructuring after-tax charge of \$8.2 million, net income increased to \$28.0 million for 1999 from \$24.1 million for 1998, an increase of \$3.9 million, or 16.1%.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company historically has financed its operations almost entirely with cash flow generated from operations and borrowings from its credit facilities. Cash provided by operating activities totaled \$28.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2000 and \$38.8 million for the comparable period of 1999. At December 31, 2000, working capital was \$84.2 million compared to \$59.2 million at December 31, 1999. Working capital may vary from time to time as a result of seasonality, new product category introductions and changes in accounts receivable and inventory levels. Accounts receivable balances increased to \$65.0 million at December 31, 2000 compared to \$39.1 million at December 31, 1999. This increase in

receivable levels is primarily due to the significant sales growth. In addition, the increased new product category and international content, as well as increased goggle sales during the last half of fiscal year 2000, contributed to increased receivable balances and an increase in receivable days outstanding due to the longer terms and dating programs customary for these categories and regions. Inventories increased to \$62.0 million at December 31, 2000 compared to \$35.1 million at December 31, 1999 primarily as a result of the Company's sales growth. Other factors contributing to the increased inventories include the Company's decision to systematically increase inventories in order to improve order fulfillment rates and corresponding turns at retail and the rapid international growth and new category expansion, which require increased inventory levels. Inventory turns decreased slightly to 2.5 at December 31, 2000 compared to 2.8 at December 31, 1999. In addition, as a result of a one-time tax benefit associated with the Company's foreign operations, the Company expects to generate cash from tax savings beginning in the second half of 2001 and through April of 2002 of approximately \$6.5 million. The benefits will be cash negative through the first half of 2001, turning positive thereafter. The increase in deferred and prepaid taxes and income tax payable are primarily due to the effects of the cash required in the first half of 2001 to subsequently realize the one-time tax benefit.

In January 2001, the Company amended its unsecured line of credit with a bank syndicate which allows for borrowings up to \$75 million and matures in August 2004. The amended line of credit bears interest at either LIBOR or IBOR plus 0.75% (7.40% at December 31, 2000) or the bank's prime lending rate minus 0.25% (9.25% at December 31, 2000). At December 31, 2000, the Company had an outstanding balance of \$15.0 million under such facility. The credit agreement contains various restrictive covenants including the maintenance of certain financial ratios. At December 31, 2000, the Company was in compliance with all restrictive covenants and financial ratios. Certain of the Company's foreign subsidiaries have negotiated local lines of credit to provide working capital financing. The aggregate borrowing limit on the foreign lines of credit is \$18 million of which \$2.9 million was outstanding at December 31, 2000. In aggregate, at December 31, 2000, \$17.9 million was outstanding under these credit facilities. The Company also has a ten-year real estate term loan, which is collateralized by the Company's corporate headquarters and requires quarterly principal payments of approximately \$380,000 plus interest based on LIBOR plus 1.00% (7.74% at December 31, 2000). In January 1999, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement that results in fixing the interest rate over the term of the note at 6.31%. At December 31, 2000, the outstanding balance on the term loan was \$17.8 million. The term loan is due in September 2007.

Capital expenditures, net of retirements and purchases of businesses and technology, for the year ended December 31, 2000 were \$26.9 million compared to \$23.9 million in 1999. Included in capital expenditures for 2000 were \$7.6 million for production equipment and new product tooling, \$7.5 million for computers and related equipment, \$6.4 million for in-store displays, and \$5.4 million for building improvements and furniture and fixtures. As of December 31, 2000, the Company had commitments of approximately \$5.3 million for future capital purchases. The capital expenditures for 2000 were required to accommodate not only the Company's significant growth, including new category growth and international expansion, but also expenditures for maintenance and upgrades for production cost and quality improvements. In addition, the Company incurred in 2000 some initial expenditures related to its new leased footwear and apparel distribution center in Ontario, California.

In December 1999, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a repurchase by the Company of up to \$20 million of the Company's common stock. The Company completed this repurchase program during the second quarter of 2000 resulting in aggregate repurchases of approximately 2,274,000 shares of its common stock at a cost of approximately \$20.0 million. During December 2000, the Company's Board of Directors authorized another repurchase program by the Company of up to \$20 million of the Company's common stock. As of December 31, 2000, the Company had repurchased under this program 778,000 shares at an aggregate cost of approximately \$11.7 million.

The Company believes that existing capital, anticipated cash flow from operations, and current and anticipated credit facilities will be sufficient to meet operating needs and capital expenditures for the foreseeable future.

Seasonality

The following table sets forth certain unaudited quarterly data for the periods shown:

		20	000				1999	
	Mar. 31	June 30	Sept. 30	Dec. 31	Mar. 31	June 30	Sept. 30	Dec. 31
				(in tho	usands)			
Net sales Gross profit	\$ 63,086 39,075	\$ 100,013 66,743	\$ 107,044 65,133	\$ 93,331 54,116	\$48,726 28,673	\$72,071 46,784	\$70,819 44,127	\$ 66,256 28,950 (1)

(1) Cost of sales includes a \$12.6 million charge recorded in 1999 in connection with the restructuring of the Company's footwear operations. See Note 12 in *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*.

Historically, the Company's sales, in the aggregate, have been highest in the period from March to September, the period during which sunglass use is typically highest. As a result, operating margins are typically lower in the first and fourth quarters, as fixed operating costs are spread over lower sales volume. In anticipation of seasonal increases in demand, the Company typically builds inventories in the fourth quarter and first quarter when net sales have historically been lower. In addition, the Company's shipments of goggles, which generate gross profits at lower levels than sunglasses, are lowest in the second quarter. This seasonal trend contributes to the Company's gross profit in the second quarter, which historically has been the highest of the year. Although the Company's business generally follows this seasonal trend, new product category introductions, such as apparel, footwear and watches, and the Company's international expansion, have partially mitigated the impact of seasonality.

Backlog

Historically, the Company has generally shipped most eyewear orders within one day of receipt, with longer lead times for its other pre-booked product categories. At December 31, 2000, the Company had a backlog of \$26.8 million, including backorders (merchandise remaining unshipped beyond its scheduled shipping date) of \$6.9 million as of such date.

Inflation

The Company does not believe inflation has had a material impact on the Company in the past, although there can be no assurance that this will be the case in the future.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In December 1999, the Securities and Exchange Commission released Staff Accounting Bulletin No.101 (SAB 101) "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements." SAB 101 provides guidance on applying generally accepted accounting principles to revenue recognition issues in financial statements. Management of the Company has determined that the adoption of SAB 101 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In March 2000, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Interpretation No. 44 of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock Compensation" which, among other things, addressed accounting consequences of a modification that reduces the exercise price of a fixed stock option award (otherwise known as a repricing). Management of the Company has determined that the adoption of FASB Interpretation No. 44 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company is exposed to a variety of risks, including foreign currency fluctuations and changes in interest rates affecting the cost of its debt.

Foreign currency

The Company has direct operations in Europe, United Kingdom, Japan, Canada, Mexico, South Africa and Australia which collect at future dates in the customers' local currencies and purchase finished goods in U.S. dollars. Accordingly, the Company is exposed to transaction gains and losses that could result from changes in foreign currency exchange rates.

As more fully described in Note 8 of the Company's *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*, the Company is exposed to gains and losses resulting from fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates relating to foreign currency transactions. As part of its overall strategy to manage the level of exposure to the risk of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, the Company and its subsidiaries use foreign exchange contracts in the form of forward contracts. All of the Company's derivatives were designated and qualified as cash flow hedges at December 31, 2000.

On the date the Company enters into a derivative contract, management designates the derivative as a hedge of the identified exposure. The Company only enters into derivative instruments that qualify as cash flow hedges as described in SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." For all instruments qualifying as highly effective cash flow hedges, the changes in the fair value of the derivative are recorded in other comprehensive income. The following is a summary of the foreign exchange contracts by currency at December 31, 2000:

December 31, 2000				
U.S. Dollar				Fair
Equivalent		Maturity	Value	
\$	13,076,905	Mar. 2001 - Dec. 2001	\$	12,696,643
	1,037,238	Mar. 2001 - Dec. 2001		965,021
	2,112,115	Mar. 2001 - Dec. 2001		2,051,000
	8,806,459	Mar. 2001 - Dec. 2001		9,007,363
	9,184,746	Mar. 2001 - Dec. 2001		10,187,607
	31,937,507	Jan. 2001 - Dec. 2001		29,366,881
	16,491,080	Jan. 2001 - Dec. 2001		15,690,881
\$	82,646,050		\$	79,965,396
]	Equivalent \$ 13,076,905 1,037,238 2,112,115 8,806,459 9,184,746 31,937,507 16,491,080	U.S. Dollar Equivalent Maturity \$ 13,076,905 Mar. 2001 - Dec. 2001 1,037,238 Mar. 2001 - Dec. 2001 2,112,115 Mar. 2001 - Dec. 2001 8,806,459 Mar. 2001 - Dec. 2001 9,184,746 Mar. 2001 - Dec. 2001 31,937,507 Jan. 2001 - Dec. 2001 16,491,080 Jan. 2001 - Dec. 2001	U.S. Dollar Equivalent Maturity \$ 13,076,905 Mar. 2001 - Dec. 2001 \$ 1,037,238 \$ 1,037,238 Mar. 2001 - Dec. 2001 \$ 2,112,115 \$ 8,806,459 Mar. 2001 - Dec. 2001 \$ 9,184,746 Mar. 2001 - Dec. 2001 \$ 31,937,507 Jan. 2001 - Dec. 2001 \$ 16,491,080 Jan. 2001 - Dec. 2001

The Company is exposed to credit losses in the event of nonperformance by counterparties to its forward exchange contracts but has no off-balance sheet credit risk of accounting loss. The Company anticipates, however, that the counterparties will be able to fully satisfy their obligations under the contracts. The Company does not obtain collateral or other security to support the forward exchange contracts subject to credit risk but monitors the credit standing of the counterparties. As of December 31, 2000, outstanding contracts were recorded at fair market value and the resulting gains and losses were recorded in the consolidated financial statements pursuant to the policy set forth above.

The Company sells direct and through its subsidiaries to various customers in the European Union which have adopted the Euro as a legal currency effective January 1, 1999. The Euro is expected to begin circulation after a three-year transition period on January 1, 2002. The Company has analyzed whether the conversion to the Euro will materially affect its business operations. The Company's information systems are currently processing transactions in Euros. Additionally, the Company is planning to upgrade certain of its information systems through December 31, 2001 to enhance its capability to process

transactions and keep records in Euros. While the Company is uncertain as to the ultimate impact of the conversion, the Company does not expect costs in connection with the Euro conversion to have a material adverse affect on the Company.

Interest rates

The Company's principal line of credit, with a balance of \$15.0 million outstanding at December 31, 2000, bears interest at either LIBOR or IBOR plus 0.75% or the bank's prime lending rate (minus 0.25%, commencing January 2001). Based on the weighted average interest rate of 8.7% on the line of credit during the year ended December 31, 2000, if interest rates on the line of credit were to increase by 10%, and to the extent that borrowings were outstanding, for every \$1.0 million outstanding on the Company's line of credit, net income would be reduced by approximately \$6,000 per year.

The Company's long-term debt, with a balance of \$17.8 million outstanding at December 31, 2000, bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.0%. In January 1999, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement that eliminates the Company's risk of fluctuations in the variable rate of its long-term debt.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

See *Index to Consolidated Financial Statements* for a listing of the consolidated financial statements submitted as part of this report.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

<u>Part III</u>

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

The information required by this item will be contained in the Company's Proxy Statement for its Annual Shareholders Meeting to be held on June 1, 2001, to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2000, and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this item will be contained in the Company's Proxy Statement for its Annual Shareholders Meeting to be held on June 1, 2001, to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2000, and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The information required by this item will be contained in the Company's Proxy Statement for its Annual Shareholders Meeting to be held on June 1, 2001, to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2000, and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

The information required by this item will be contained in the Company's Proxy Statement for its Annual Shareholders Meeting to be held on June 1, 2001, to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2000, and is incorporated herein by reference.
Part IV

ITEM 14. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

- (a)(1) See page 37 for a listing of financial statements submitted as part of this report.
- (a)(2) All schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulation of the Securities and Exchange Commission are shown in the financial statements or are inapplicable, and therefore have been omitted.
- (a)(3) The following exhibits are included in this report.
 - 3.1 (1) Articles of Incorporation of the Company
 - 3.2 (1) Bylaws of the Company
 - 3.3 (4) Amendment No. 1 to the Articles of Incorporation as filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Washington on September 26, 1996
 - 3.4 (10) Amendment #1 to Section 1 and Sections 3a through 3f of Article IV of the Amended and Restated Bylaws of Oakley, Inc.
 - 10.1 (2) Agreement, dated July 17, 1995, between Oakley, Inc. and Michael Jordan
 - 10.2 (3) Oakley, Inc. 1995 Stock Incentive Plan
 - 10.3 (3) Oakley, Inc. Executive Officer Performance Bonus Plan
 - 10.4 (3) Indemnification Agreement, dated August 1, 1995, between Oakley, Inc. and Jim Jannard
 - 10.5 (1) Schedule of indemnification agreements between Oakley, Inc. and each of its directors and executive officers
 - 10.6 (3) Aircraft Lease Agreement, dated August 10, 1995, between Oakley, Inc. and X, Inc.
 - 10.7 (3) Aircraft Lease Agreement, dated August 10, 1995, between Oakley, Inc. and Time Tool Incorporated
 - 10.8 (1) Registration Rights Agreement, dated August 1, 1995, between Oakley, Inc., Jim Jannard and the M. and M. Parnell Revocable Trust
 - 10.9 (3) Indemnification Agreement, dated August 9, 1995, between Oakley, Inc., Jim Jannard and the M. and M. Parnell Revocable Trust
 - 10.10 (5) Reciprocal Exclusive Dealing Agreement dated March 11, 1997 among Oakley, Inc., Gentex Optics, Inc. and Essilor International Compagnie Generale D'Optique, S.A. (portions of this document have been omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment)
 - 10.11 (5) Promissory Note, dated March 20, 1997, between Oakley, Inc. and Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association
 - 10.12 (6) Promissory Note, dated August 7, 1997, between Oakley, Inc. and Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association
 - 10.13 (6) Amendment No. 1 to Promissory Note, dated August 14, 1997, between Oakley, Inc. and Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association
 - 10.14 (6) Amendment No. 2 to Promissory Note, dated August 14, 1997, between Oakley, Inc. and Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association
 - 10.15 (6) Deed of Trust with Assignment of Rents, Security Agreement and Fixture Filing, dated August 7, 1997, between Oakley, Inc. and Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association
 - 10.16 (7) Indemnification Agreement, dated October 6, 1997 between Oakley, Inc. and Thomas A. George
 - 10.17 (8) Amended and Restated Consultant Agreement, dated May 12, 1998, between Jim Jannard and Oakley, Inc.

- 10.18 (9) Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated August 25, 1998, among Oakley, Inc. and Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association, as agent, and the Lenders named therein
- 10.19 (9) Modification Agreement (Short Form), dated August 10, 1998, between Oakley, Inc. and Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association
- 10.20 (9) Modification Agreement (Long Form), dated August 10, 1998, between Oakley, Inc. and Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association
- 10.21 (11) Employment Agreement, dated May 1, 1999, between William Schmidt and Oakley, Inc.
- 10.22 (11) Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated May 1, 1999, between Link Newcomb and Oakley, Inc.
- 10.23 (11) Oakley, Inc. Amended and Restated 1995 Stock Incentive Plan
- 10.24 (11) Oakley, Inc. Amended and Restated Executive Officers Performance Bonus Plan
- 10.25 (12) Severance and Release Agreement, dated October 6, 1999, between William Schmidt and Oakley, Inc.
- 10.26 (12) Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated December 31, 1999, between Link Newcomb and Oakley, Inc.
- 10.27 (12) Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated January 1, 2000, between Thomas George and Oakley, Inc.
- 10.28 (13) Consultant Agreement dated February 14, 2000 between Mike Parnell and Oakley, Inc.
- 10.29 (13) First Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of March 6, 2000, among Oakley, Inc. and Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association, as agent, and the Lenders named therein
- 10.30 (14) Employment Agreement, dated October 1, 2000, between Tomas Rios and Oakley, Inc.
- 10.31 (15) Second Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated October 16, 2000, among Oakley, Inc. and Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association, as agent, and the Lenders named therein
- 10.32 (15) Third Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated January 18, 2001, among Oakley, Inc. and Bank of America National Trust and Savings Association, as agent, and the Lenders named therein
- 10.33 (15) Lease Agreement, dated November 10, 2000, between Haven Gateway LLC and Oakley, Inc.
- 10.34 (15) Trademark License Agreement and Assignment of Rights, dated March 31, 2000, between Y, LLC and Oakley, Inc.
- 21.1 (12) List of Material Subsidiaries
- 23.1 (12) Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP, independent auditors
- Previously filed with the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of Oakley, Inc. (Registration No. 33-93080)
- (2) Previously filed with the Form 10-Q of Oakley, Inc. for the quarter ended September 30, 1995.
- (3) Previously filed with the Form 10-K of Oakley, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 1995.
- (4) Previously filed with the Form 10-K of Oakley, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 1996.
- (5) Previously filed with the Form 10-Q of Oakley, Inc. for the quarter ended March 31, 1997.
- (6) Previously filed with the Form 10-Q of Oakley, Inc. for the quarter ended September 30, 1997.
- (7) Previously filed with the Form 10-K of Oakley, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 1997.

- (8) Previously filed with the Form 10-Q of Oakley, Inc. for the quarter ended June 30, 1998.
- (9) Previously filed with the Form 10-Q of Oakley, Inc. for the quarter ended September 30, 1998.
- (10) Previously filed with the Form 10-K of Oakley, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 1998.
- (11) Previously filed with the Form 10-Q of Oakley, Inc. for the quarter ended June 30, 1999.
- (12) Previously filed with the Form 10-K of Oakley, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 1999.
- (13) Previously filed with the Form 10-Q of Oakley, Inc. for the quarter ended March 30, 2000.
- (14) Previously filed with the Form 10-Q of Oakley, Inc. for the quarter ended September 30, 2000.
- (15) Filed herewith.
- (b) Reports on Form 8-KThe Company did not file any reports on Form 8-K during the quarter ended December 31, 2000.
- (c) See (a) (3) above for a listing of the exhibits included as a part of this report.

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Page

Independent Auditors' Report	38
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2000 and 1999	39
Consolidated Statements of Income and Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998	40
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998	41
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998	42
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	43 - 58

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Oakley, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Oakley, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2000 and 1999 and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2000. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 14(a)(2). These financial statements and the financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and the financial statements and the financial statements check are the responsibility of the financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Oakley, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2000 and 1999 and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2000, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP Costa Mesa, California February 2, 2001

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (in thousands, except share and per share data)

	December 31,		
	2000	1999	
ASSETS			
CURRENT ASSETS:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 4,855	\$ 5,499	
Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts	φ 1,055	φ 3,199	
of \$1,512 (2000) and \$598 (1999)	64,973	39,113	
Inventories, net (Note 3)	61,998	35,061	
Other receivables	1,734	1,776	
Deferred and prepaid income taxes (Note 5)	22,697	11,698	
Prepaid expenses and other	2,502	5,561	
Total current assets	158,759	98,708	
Property and equipment, net (Note 4)	121,824	113,695	
Deposits	1,293	2,281	
Other assets	21,110	24,666	
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 302,986	\$ 239,350	
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDER	S' EQUITY		
	-		
CURRENT LIABILITIES: Line of credit (Note 6)	\$ 17,901	\$ 5,000	
Accounts payable	\$ 17,901 23,264	\$ 5,000 16,592	
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	12,814	10,392	
Accrued warranty	3,992	4,483	
Income taxes payable (Note 5)	15,093	1,382	
Current portion of long-term debt (Note 6)	1,519	1,519	
Total current liabilities	74,583	39,461	
Deferred income taxes (Note 5)	3,904	3,511	
Long-term debt, net of current portion (Note 6)	16,326	18,541	
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 7)			
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (Note 9):			
Preferred stock, par value \$.01 per share; 20,000,000			
shares authorized; no shares issued	-	-	
Common stock, par value \$.01 per share; 200,000,000			
shares authorized; 68,612,000 (2000) and			
70,037,000 (1999) issued and outstanding	686	700	
Additional paid-in capital	36,484	51,851	
Retained earnings	177,277	126,225	
Accumulated other comprehensive income	(6,274)	(939)	
Total shareholders' equity	208,173	177,837	
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND			
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$ 302,986	\$ 239,350	

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

Year Ended December 31,			
2000 1999 1998			
\$ 363,474	\$ 257,872	\$ 231,934	
138,408	109,338	86,134	
225,066	148,534	145,800	
7,894	6,304	5,231	
90,291	72,184	66,188	
10,005	6,592	6,777	
35,612	30,977	26,299	
143,802	116,057	104,495	
81,264	32,477	41,305	
2,723	1,951	2,109	
78,541	30,526	39,196	
27,489	10,684	15,051	
\$ 51,052	\$ 19,842	\$ 24,145	
\$ 0.74	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.34	
69,041,000	70,660,000	70,678,000	
\$ 0.73	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.34	
69,709,000	70,662,000	70,851,000	
	2000 \$ 363,474 138,408 225,066 7,894 90,291 10,005 35,612 143,802 81,264 2,723 78,541 27,489 \$ 51,052 \$ 0.74 69,041,000 \$ 0.73	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (in thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,				
		2000		1999	 1998
Net income	\$	51,052	\$	19,842	\$ 24,145
Other comprehensive (loss) income:					
Transition adjustment related to the adoption of SFAS 133		-		(103)	-
Net unrealized (loss) gain on derivative instruments		(2,759)		1,120	-
Foreign currency translation adjustment		(2,576)		(1,232)	 430
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax	_	(5,335)		(215)	 430
Comprehensive income	\$	45,717	\$	19,627	\$ 24,575

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (in thousands, except share data)

	Commo	n Stock		Ad	ditional	R	etained		umulated Other prehensive	
	Shares	An	nount	Paid	-in Capital	Ē	Earnings	Inco	ome (loss)	 Total
Balance as of January 1, 1998	70,659,000	\$	707	\$	55,170	\$	82,238	\$	(1,154)	\$ 136,961
Exercise of stock options (Note 9)	19,000		-		219		-		-	219
Tax benefit related to exercise of stock options	-		-		18		-		-	18
Compensatory stock options	-		-		203		-		-	203
Net income	-		-		-		24,145		-	24,145
Foreign currency translation			_		-		-		430	 430
Balance as of December 31, 1998	70,678,000		707		55,610		106,383		(724)	161,976
Repurchase of common shares (Note 9)	(641,000)		(7)		(4,097)		-		-	(4,104)
Compensatory stock options	-		-		238		-		-	238
Capital contributions	-		-		100		-		-	100
Net income	-		-		-		19,842		-	19,842
Other comprehensive loss					-		-		(215)	 (215)
Balance as of December 31, 1999	70,037,000		700		51,851		126,225		(939)	177,837
Repurchase of common shares (Note 9)	(2,411,000)		(24)		(27,550)		-		-	(27,574)
Exercise of stock options (Note 9)	986,000		10		10,353		-		-	10,363
Compensatory stock options	-		-		141		-		-	141
Tax benefit related to exercise of stock options	-		-		1,689		-		-	1,689
Net income	-		-		-		51,052		-	51,052
Other comprehensive loss			-		-		-		(5,335)	 (5,335)
Balance as of December 31, 2000	68,612,000	\$	686	\$	36,484	\$	177,277	\$	(6,274)	\$ 208,173

OAKLEY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (in thousands)

	Year ended December 31,		
	2000	1999	1998
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income	\$ 51,052	\$ 19,842	\$ 24,145
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	20,377	19,164	16,272
Compensatory stock options and capital contributions	141	338	203
(Gain) loss on disposition of equipment	94	3,719	(600)
Deferred and prepaid income taxes, net	(10,602)	(5,531)	143
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects of business acquisitions:			
Accounts receivable	(27,978)	(5,879)	(9,586)
Inventories	(28,121)	1,164	(9,505)
Other receivables	23	602	(53)
Prepaid expenses and other	215	(288)	(1,229)
Accounts payable	6,344	4,303	5,735
Accrued expenses, other current liabilities and accrued warranty	2,292	2,709	2,168
Income taxes payable	14,296	(1,298)	896
Net cash provided by operating activities	28,133	38,845	28,589
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Deposits	958	255	(965)
Acquisitions of property and equipment	(26,999)	(16,792)	(29,124)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	37	566	851
Acquisitions of businesses and technology (Note 2)	-	(7,720)	(7,260)
Other assets	1,806	(9)	(53)
Net cash used in investing activities	(24,198)	(23,700)	(36,551)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Proceeds from bank borrowings	138,149	82,600	78,650
Repayments of bank borrowings	(126,819)	(92,419)	(69,669)
Net proceeds from issuance of common shares	12,052	-	237
Repurchase of common shares	(27,574)	(4,104)	
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(4,192)	(13,923)	9,218
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(387)	(276)	640
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(644)	946	1,896
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	5,499	4,553	2,657
	\$ 4,855	\$ 5,499	\$ 4,553
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 4,033	\$ 3,499	\$ 4,335
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:			
Cash paid during the year for:			
Interest (net of amounts capitalized)	\$ 3,363	\$ 2,233	\$ 2,273
Income taxes (net of refunds received)	\$ 22,146	\$ 16,194	\$ 13,333

Note 1 - Significant Accounting Policies and Description of Business

Description of Business - The Company is an innovation-driven designer, manufacturer and distributor of consumer products that include high-performance eyewear, footwear, watches, apparel and accessories. The Company believes its principal strength is its ability to develop products that demonstrate superior performance and aesthetics through proprietary technology and styling. Its designs and innovations are protected by approximately 600 legal patents worldwide.

Basis of Presentation - The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Principles of Consolidation - The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Oakley, Inc. (a Washington corporation, which succeeded to all the assets and liabilities of Oakley, Inc., a California corporation) and its subsidiaries (collectively, the "Company"). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Cash and Cash Equivalents - For purposes of the consolidated financial statements, investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less are considered cash equivalents.

Inventories - Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out method) or market.

Property and Equipment - Property and equipment are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization are provided for using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives (generally three to seven years for property and equipment and 39 years for buildings) of the respective assets or, as to leasehold improvements, the term of the related lease if less than the estimated service life.

Intangible Assets - The excess of cost over fair market value of net identifiable assets of acquired companies and other intangible assets of \$18,105,000 (2000) and \$21,126,000 (1999) included in other assets in the accompanying balance sheet are amortized on a straight-line basis over periods ranging from ten to fifteen years. The carrying value of intangible assets is periodically reviewed by the Company based on the estimated future operating income of each acquired entity on an undiscounted cash flow basis. Based upon its most recent analysis, the Company believes that no impairment of intangible assets exists at December 31, 2000.

Long-Lived Assets - The Company accounts for the impairment and disposition of long-lived assets in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of." In accordance with SFAS No. 121, long-lived assets to be held are reviewed for events or changes in circumstances which indicate that their carrying value may not be recoverable. As of December 31, 2000, no impairment has been indicated.

Note 1 - Significant Accounting Policies and Description of Business (cont'd)

Revenue Recognition - Revenue is recognized when merchandise is shipped to a customer. Generally, the Company extends credit to its customers and does not require collateral. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and historic credit losses have been within management's expectations.

Segment Disclosures - The Company has adopted SFAS No. 131, "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information." SFAS No. 131 establishes standards for reporting information about operating segments and related disclosures about products, geographics and major customers (Note 11).

Financial Instruments - The carrying amounts of financial instruments, consisting of cash and cash equivalents, trade accounts receivable and accounts payable, approximate fair value due to the short period of time between origination of the instruments and their expected realization. Management also believes the carrying amount of balances outstanding under the credit agreements approximates fair value as the underlying interest rates reflect market rates.

Income Taxes - The Company accounts for income taxes under the provisions of SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." Deferred taxes are provided for temporary differences between basis of assets and liabilities for financial statement and tax reporting purposes (Note 5).

Foreign Currency Translation - The Company's primary functional currency is the U.S. dollar, while the functional currency of each of the Company's subsidiaries is the local currency of the subsidiary. Assets and liabilities of the Company denominated in foreign currencies are translated at the rate of exchange on the balance sheet date. Revenues and expenses are translated using the average exchange rate for the period. Gains and losses from translation of foreign subsidiary financial statements are included in accumulated other comprehensive income. Gains and losses on short-term intercompany foreign currency transactions are recognized as incurred (Note 8).

Comprehensive Income - The Company has adopted SFAS No. 130, "Reporting Comprehensive Income." Comprehensive income represents the results of operations adjusted to reflect all items recognized under accounting standards as components of comprehensive earnings. All periods have been restated to conform to SFAS No. 130.

Stock-Based Compensation - The Company accounts for stock-based awards to employees using the intrinsic value method in accordance with Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees."

Earnings Per Share - Basic earnings per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the reporting period. Earnings per share assuming dilution is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and the dilutive effect of potential common shares outstanding. For the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998, the diluted weighted average common shares outstanding includes 668,000, 2,000 and 173,000, respectively, of dilutive stock options.

Note 1 - Significant Accounting Policies and Description of Business (cont'd)

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities - The Company adopted SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," on January 1, 1999. The adoption of SFAS No. 133 resulted in a transition adjustment recorded by the Company as a cumulative-effect type adjustment of \$103,000 in 1999 as a charge to accumulated other comprehensive income to recognize the fair value of all derivatives that are designated as cash-flow hedges. (Note 8)

New Accounting Pronouncements - In December 1999, the Securities and Exchange Commission released Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101 (SAB 101) "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements." SAB 101 provides guidance on applying generally accepted accounting principles to revenue recognition issues in financial statements. Management of the Company has determined that the adoption of SAB 101 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In March 2000, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Interpretation No. 44 of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock Compensation," which, among other things, addressed accounting consequences of a modification that reduces the exercise price of a fixed stock option award (otherwise known as a repricing). Management of the Company has determined that the adoption of FASB Interpretation No. 44 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Reclassifications - Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period financial statements to conform to the presentation for the financial statements for the period ended December 31, 2000.

Certain Significant Risks and Uncertainties

General Business - The Company's historical success is attributable, in part, to its introduction of products which are perceived to represent an improvement in performance over products available in the market. The Company's future success will depend, in part, upon its continued ability to develop and introduce such innovative products, and there can be no assurance of the Company's ability to do so. The consumer products industry, including the sunglass, apparel, footwear, and watch categories, is fragmented and highly competitive. In order to retain its market share, the Company must continue to be competitive in the areas of quality, technology, method of distribution, style, brand image, intellectual property protection and customer service. These industries are subject to changing consumer preferences; shifts in consumer preferences may adversely affect companies that misjudge such preferences.

Note 1 - Significant Accounting Policies and Description of Business (cont'd)

In addition, the Company has experienced significant growth under several measurements which has placed, and could continue to place, a significant strain on its employees and operations. If management is unable to anticipate or manage growth effectively, the Company's operating results could be materially adversely affected.

Use of Estimates - The preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles necessarily requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent liabilities at the balance sheet dates and the reported amounts of revenue and expense during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from such estimates.

Vulnerability Due to Supplier Concentrations - The Company uses a single source for the supply of several components, including the uncoated lens blanks from which substantially all of its sunglass lenses are cut. In the event of the loss of the source for lens blanks, the Company has identified an alternate source which may be available. The effect of the loss of any of these sources or a disruption in their business will depend primarily upon the length of time necessary to find a suitable alternative source and could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations.

Vulnerability Due to Customer Concentrations - Net sales to a sunglass specialty retail chain accounted for approximately 21.0%, 23.0% and 26.0% of net sales for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998, respectively.

Note 2 - Acquisitions

During 1998, the Company acquired the Oakley division of its exclusive Canadian distributor and certain patented technology for an aggregate cost of approximately \$7.3 million. During 1999, the Company acquired its Australian distributor and paid approximately \$7.7 million for goodwill. All acquisitions were recorded using the purchase method of accounting and the results of operations from the date of acquisition have been included in the Company's respective 2000, 1999 and 1998 financial statements. The excess of the purchase prices over the fair values of the net assets acquired have been allocated to intangible assets, which are included in the caption "Other assets" in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet and are being amortized on a straight-line basis over periods ranging from ten to fifteen years. Had the acquisitions occurred at the beginning of the fiscal year in which each acquisition was completed, or the beginning of the immediately preceding year, combined pro forma net sales, net income and net income per common share would not have been materially different from that currently being reported.

Note 3 - Inventories

Inventories at December 31, consist of the following:

	2000	1999
Raw materials	\$ 21,762,000	\$ 14,801,000
Finished goods	40,236,000	20,260,000
	\$ 61,998,000	\$ 35,061,000

Note 4 - Property and Equipment

Property and equipment at December 31, consist of the following:

	2000	1999
Land	\$ 9,017,000	\$ 9,017,000
Buildings and leasehold improvements	61,350,000	57,824,000
Equipment and furniture	116,840,000	97,814,000
Tooling	14,402,000	12,282,000
	201,609,000	176,937,000
Less accumulated depreciation		
and amortization	79,785,000	63,242,000
	\$121,824,000	\$113,695,000

Note 5 - Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes for the years ended December 31, consists of the following:

	2000	1999	1998
Current:			
Federal	\$15,276,000	\$10,406,000	\$10,900,000
State	2,610,000	2,540,000	1,840,000
Foreign	4,821,000	3,269,000	2,168,000
	22,707,000	16,215,000	14,908,000
Deferred:			
Federal	3,537,000	(4,626,000)	16,000
State	934,000	(905,000)	127,000
Foreign	311,000	-	-
	4,782,000	(5,531,000)	143,000
	\$27,489,000	\$10,684,000	\$15,051,000

Note 5 - Income Taxes (cont'd)

A reconciliation of income tax expense computed at U.S. Federal statutory rates to income tax expense for the years ended December 31, is as follows:

	2000	1999	1998
Tax at U.S. Federal statutory rates	\$ 27,489,000	\$ 10,684,000	\$ 13,719,000
State income taxes, net	2,304,000	1,080,000	1,278,000
Foreign sales corporation benefit,			
net of foreign tax rate differential	(1,602,000)	(1,308,000)	(879,000)
Other, net	(702,000)	228,000	933,000
	\$ 27,489,000	\$ 10,684,000	\$ 15,051,000

Deferred and prepaid income taxes consist of prepaid taxes of \$15,388,000 at December 31, 2000 and zero at December 31, 1999 and reflect the net tax effect of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31, are as follows:

	2000	1999
Deferred tax assets:		
Warranty reserve	\$ 1,552,000	\$ 1,599,000
Uniform capitalization	647,000	624,000
Sales returns reserve	939,000	1,156,000
State taxes	763,000	448,000
Inventory reserve	556,000	1,684,000
Allowance for doubtful accounts	496,000	230,000
Accrued vacation	494,000	415,000
Restructuring reserve	681,000	4,723,000
Other	1,181,000	819,000
Total deferred tax assets	7,309,000	11,698,000
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Depreciation and amortization	(3,904,000)	(3,511,000)
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 3,405,000	\$ 8,187,000

Note 6 - Debt

Line of Credit – In January 2001, the Company amended its unsecured line of credit with a bank syndicate which allows for borrowings up to \$75 million and matures in August 2004. The amended line of credit bears interest at either LIBOR or IBOR plus 0.75% (7.40% at December 31, 2000) or the bank's prime lending rate minus 0.25% (9.25% at December 31, 2000). At December 31, 2000 and 1999, the Company had \$15 million and \$5.0 million, respectively, outstanding under the credit agreement. The credit agreement contains various restrictive covenants including the maintenance of certain financial ratios. At December 31, 2000, the Company was in compliance with all restrictive covenants and financial ratios. Certain of the Company's foreign subsidiaries have negotiated local lines of credit to provide working capital financing. The aggregate borrowing limit on the foreign lines of credit is \$18 million of which \$2.9 million was outstanding at December 31, 2000. In aggregate, at December 31, 2000, \$17.9 million was outstanding under these credit facilities.

Long-Term Debt - The Company has a real estate term loan with an outstanding balance of \$17.8 million at December 31, 2000, which expires September 2007. The term loan, which is collateralized by the Company's corporate headquarters, requires quarterly principal payments of approximately \$380,000 (\$1,519,000 annually), plus interest based upon LIBOR plus 1.00% (7.74% at December 31, 2000). In January 1999, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement that eliminates the Company's risk of fluctuations in the variable rate of its long-term debt by fixing the interest rate over the term of the note at 6.31%.

Note 7 - Commitments and Contingencies

Leases - The Company is committed under noncancelable operating leases expiring at various dates through 2009 for certain offices, warehouse facilities, production facilities, distribution centers and aircraft. The aircraft is leased from an entity controlled by an officer and a shareholder of the Company.

Minimum future annual rentals under these leases are as follows:

Year Ending December 31,	Related Party	Other	Total
2001	\$ 188,000	\$ 2,005,000	\$ 2,193,000
2002	86,000	1,800,000	1,886,000
2003	71,000	1,624,000	1,695,000
2004	-	1,623,000	1,623,000
2005	-	1,617,000	1,617,000
Thereafter		565,000	565,000
Total	\$ 345,000	\$ 9,234,000	\$ 9,579,000

Note 7 - Commitments and Contingencies (cont'd)

Rent expense for the years ended December 31, is summarized as follows:

	2000		1999			1998
Related parties	\$	197,000	\$	125,000	\$	124,000
Other		1,496,000		1,126,000		1,023,000
Total	\$	1,693,000	\$	1,251,000	\$	1,147,000

Purchase Commitments – The Company has an exclusive dealing agreement, which renews annually, with its lens blank supplier and the supplier's French parent, pursuant to which the Company received the exclusive right to purchase decentered sunglass lenses, in return for the Company's agreement to fulfill all its lens requirements, subject to certain exceptions, from such supplier.

Employment and Consulting Agreements - The Company has entered into employment and consulting agreements with certain officers of the Company which have terms of two to three years. The agreements require minimum aggregate compensation to the respective officers. Additionally, the officers participate in a performance bonus plan, and the employment agreements establish minimum bonus targets for such officers.

Endorsement Contracts - The Company has entered into several endorsement contracts with selected athletes and others who endorse the Company's products. Under the contracts, the Company has agreed to pay certain incentives based on performance and is required to pay minimum annual payments as follows:

Year Ending	
December 31,	
2001	\$ 4,449,000
2002	2,924,000
2003	1,359,000
2004	1,157,000
2005	547,000
Thereafter	-
Total	\$ 10,436,000

Included in such amounts is an annual retainer of \$0.5 million through 2005 for a director of the Company.

Note 7 - Commitments and Contingencies (cont'd)

Litigation - During December 1996, three putative class action lawsuits (the "California Securities Actions") were filed in the California Superior Court for the County of Orange (the "Superior Court") against the Company and three of its officers and directors alleging material misstatements and omissions in certain of the Company's public statements, SEC filings and reports of third-party analysts. The plaintiffs sought unspecified damages and other relief. In addition, one of the lawsuits also asserted claims against firms who served as underwriters of the June 6, 1996 offering of the Company's common stock by certain of its shareholders (the "Secondary Offering"). Pursuant to certain provisions of the underwriting agreement between the Company and the firms, the Company agreed to indemnify the firms against certain liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act of 1933. Pursuant to the terms of the settlement in the Federal Securities Action (described below), the California Securities Action was dismissed on a with prejudice basis in February 2001. During October, November, and December 1997, five putative class action lawsuits (the "Federal Securities Actions") were filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Southern Division (the "District Court"), against the Company, three of its officers and directors, and firms that served as underwriters of the Secondary Offering, alleging material misstatements and omissions in certain of the Company's public statements, the reports of third-party analysts and/or certain of the Company's SEC filings. The plaintiffs in the Federal Securities Actions sought unspecified damages and other relief.

In August 2000, the parties entered into a Stipulation of Settlement dated as of August 28, 2000 to resolve the Federal Securities Actions. Among other things, the Stipulation of Settlement provided for the dismissal with prejudice of the Federal Securities Actions upon final approval of the settlement by the District Court. On December 19, 2000, the District Court finally approved the settlement and entered orders and judgments dismissing the Federal Securities Actions with prejudice in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation of Settlement. Amounts paid under the Stipulation of Settlement were funded entirely by the Company's insurance carrier.

In addition, the Company is currently involved in litigation incidental to the Company's business. In the opinion of management, the ultimate resolution of such litigation, in the aggregate, will not have a significant effect on the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Note 8 - Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company adopted SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," on January 1, 1999. The adoption of SFAS No. 133 resulted in a transition adjustment recorded by the Company as a cumulative-effect type adjustment of a \$103,000 charge in 1999 to accumulated other comprehensive income to recognize the fair value of all derivatives that are designated as cash-flow hedges.

The Company is exposed to gains and losses resulting from fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates relating to transactions of its international subsidiaries as well as fluctuations in its variable rate debt. As part of its overall strategy to manage the level of exposure to the risk of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, the Company and its subsidiaries use foreign exchange contracts in the form of forward contracts. In addition, as part of its overall strategy to manage the level of exposure to the risk of fluctuations in interest rates, in January 1999 the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement that results in fixing the interest rate over the term of the Company's tenyear real estate term loan. At December 31, 2000, all of the Company's derivatives were designated and qualified as cash flow hedges. For all qualifying and highly effective cash flow hedges, the changes in the fair value of the derivative are recorded in other comprehensive income. The Company is currently hedging forecasted foreign currency transactions that could result in reclassifications of \$2.7 million of losses to earnings over the next twelve months; however, under the specific terms of Company's foreign exchange contracts, assuming exchange rates at December 31, 2000 remain constant, losses of only \$0.4 million would be reclassified to earnings over the next twelve months. The Company hedges forecasted transactions that are determined probable to occur within 18 months or less.

On the date the Company enters into a derivative contract, management designates the derivative as a hedge of the identified exposure. The Company does not enter into derivative instruments that do not qualify as cash flow hedges as described in SFAS No. 133. The Company formally documents all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as the risk-management objective and strategy for undertaking various hedge transactions. In this documentation, the Company specifically identifies the asset, liability, firm commitment, or forecasted transaction that has been designated as a hedged item and states how the hedging instrument is expected to hedge the risks related to the hedged item. The Company formally measures effectiveness of its hedging relationships both at the hedge inception and on an ongoing basis in accordance with its risk management policy. The Company would discontinue hedge accounting prospectively (i) if it is determined that the derivative is no longer effective in offsetting change in the cash flows of a hedged item, (ii) when the derivative expires or is sold, terminated, or exercised, (iii) when the derivative is designated as a hedge instrument, because it is probable that the forecasted transaction will not occur, (iv) because a hedged firm commitment no longer meets the definition of a firm commitment, or (v) if management determines that designation of the derivative as a hedge instrument is no longer appropriate. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2000, the Company reclassified into earnings a net gain of \$3.9 million resulting from the expiration, sale, termination, or exercise of foreign currency exchange contracts.

Note 8 - Derivative Financial Instruments (cont'd)

The following is a summary of the foreign currency contracts outstanding by currency at December 31, 2000:

	December 31, 2000					
	1	U.S. Dollar			Fair	
		Equivalent	Maturity	Value		
Forward Contracts:						
British pounds	\$	13,076,905	Mar. 2001 - Dec. 2001	\$	12,696,643	
Mexican pesos		1,037,238	Mar. 2001 - Dec. 2001		965,021	
African rand		2,112,115	Mar. 2001 - Dec. 2001		2,051,000	
Canadian dollar		8,806,459	Mar. 2001 - Dec. 2001		9,007,363	
Japanese yen		9,184,746	Mar. 2001 - Dec. 2001		10,187,607	
French francs		31,937,507	Jan. 2001 - Dec. 2001		29,366,881	
Australian dollar		16,491,080	Jan. 2001 - Dec. 2001		15,690,881	
	\$	82,646,050		\$	79,965,396	

The Company is exposed to credit losses in the event of nonperformance by counterparties to its forward exchange contracts but has no off-balance sheet credit risk of accounting loss. The Company anticipates, however, that the counterparties will be able to fully satisfy their obligations under the contracts. The Company does not obtain collateral or other security to support the forward exchange contracts subject to credit risk but monitors the credit standing of the counterparties. As of December 31, 2000, outstanding contracts were recorded at fair market value and the resulting gains and losses were recorded in the consolidated financial statements pursuant to the policy set forth above.

Note 9 - Shareholders' Equity

Stock Repurchase - In December 1999, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a repurchase by the Company of up to \$20 million of the Company's common stock. The Company completed this repurchase program during the second quarter of 2000 resulting in aggregate repurchases of approximately 2,274,000 shares of its common stock at a cost of approximately \$20.0 million, or an average cost of \$8.79 per share. In December 2000, the Company's Board of Directors authorized another \$20 million stock repurchase program to occur from time to time as market conditions warrant. Under this program, as of December 31, 2000 the Company had purchased approximately 778,000 shares of its common stock at an aggregate cost of approximately \$11.7 million, or an average cost of \$15.00 per share.

Note 9 - Shareholders' Equity (cont'd)

Stock Incentive Plan - The Company's 1995 Stock Incentive Plan (the "Plan") provides for stock-based incentive awards, including incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, restricted stock, performance shares, stock appreciation rights and deferred stock to Company officers, employees, advisors and consultants. A total of 6,712,000 shares have been reserved for issuance under the Plan. At December 31, 2000, stock options for 1,649,397 shares were exercisable and 2,686,825 shares were available for issuance pursuant to new stock option grants.

The following table summarizes information with respect to the Plan for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998:

	2000	1999	1998
Outstanding shares at January 1	3,573,098	3,335,436	3,320,324
Granted	625,999	991,255	159,141
Cancelled	(208,079)	(753,593)	(125,058)
Exercised	(985,971)	-	(18,971)
Outstanding shares at December 31	3,005,047	3,573,098	3,335,436
Exercisable shares at December 31	1,649,397	2,065,019	1,478,729
Average exercise price at January 1	\$10.45	\$10.88	\$10.85
Granted	10.42	7.56	11.58
Cancelled	11.10	8.54	10.96
Exercised	10.53		11.55
Average exercise price at December 31	\$10.37	\$10.45	\$10.88
Weighted average exercise price of exercisable options at December 31	\$10.94	\$11.82	\$11.27
Weighted average fair value of options granted during the year	\$4.55	\$3.23	\$5.07

Additional information regarding options outstanding as of December 31, 2000 is as follows:

		Options Outstanding			Options E	xercisa	able
		Weighted Avg					
		Remaining					
Range of	Number	Contractual	Weig	ghted Avg	Number	Weig	ghted Avg
Exercise Prices	Outstanding	Life (yrs)	Exercise Price		Exercisable	Exer	cise Price
\$ 5.56 - 8.75	629,638	7.84	\$	7.59	179,834	\$	8.06
\$ 9.06 - 11.00	1,230,648	7.91	\$	10.31	505,030	\$	10.08
\$ 11.50 - 12.50	1,056,861	6.31	\$	11.57	895,399	\$	11.56
\$ 13.06 - 25.19	87,900	7.68	\$	16.56	69,134	\$	16.49

Note 9 - Shareholders' Equity (cont'd)

During the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998, the Company recorded stock compensation expense of \$141,000, \$238,000 and \$203,000, respectively, associated with stock options issued to non-employees.

As disclosed in Note 1, the Company applies APB Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related interpretations in accounting for its stock-based awards. No compensation expense has been recognized in the financial statements for employee stock arrangements. SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," requires the disclosure of pro forma net income and earnings per share had the Company adopted the fair value method in accounting for stock-based awards as of the beginning of fiscal 1995. Under SFAS No. 123, the fair value of stock-based awards to employees is calculated through the use of option-pricing models, even though such models were developed to estimate the fair value of freely tradable, fully transferable options without vesting restrictions, which significantly differ from the Company's stock option awards. These models also require subjective assumptions, including future stock price volatility and expected time to exercise, which greatly affect the calculated values. The Company's calculations were made using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions:

	2000	1999	1998
Stock volatility	44.3%-58.7%	39.8%-51.5%	39.3%-54.0%
Risk-free interest rate	5.5%	5.5%	5.5%
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%	0%
Expected life of option	1-4 years	1-4 years	3-4 years

If the computed fair value of the 2000, 1999 and 1998 awards had been amortized to expense over the vesting period of the awards, net income would have been as follows:

	2	2000	1	999		1998
Net income:						
As reported	\$ 51,	052,000	\$ 19	,842,000	\$24,	145,000
Pro forma	\$ 48,	328,000	\$ 17	,498,000	\$22,	052,000
Basic net income per share:						
As reported	\$	0.74	\$	0.28	\$	0.34
Pro forma	\$	0.70	\$	0.25	\$	0.31
Diluted net income per share:						
As reported	\$	0.73	\$	0.28	\$	0.34
Pro forma	\$	0.69	\$	0.25	\$	0.31

Note 10 - Net Sales

The Company's net sales to U.S. and international customers for the years ended December 31, are summarized as follows:

	2000	1999	1998
United States	\$193,199,000	\$141,767,000	\$136,546,000
International	170,275,000	116,105,000	95,388,000
	\$363,474,000	\$257,872,000	\$231,934,000

Note 11 - Segment and Geographic Information

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the Company's chief operating decision maker, or decision making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The Company operates exclusively in the consumer products industry in which the Company designs, manufactures and sells performance and prescription eyewear, athletic equipment, apparel, footwear and watches. For segment reporting purposes, these product groups have been aggregated because of their common characteristics and their reliance on shared operating functions.

Although the Company operates in one industry segment, it derives revenues from different product lines within the segment. Revenues as a percentage of gross sales from external customers for each product line for the years ended December 31, are as follows:

	2000	1999	1998
Sunglasses	73.9 %	75.8 %	77.7 %
Other Consumer Products	26.1	24.2	22.3
	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %

Other consumer products consist of prescription eyewear, goggles, footwear, apparel, watches and accessories.

Note 11 - Segment and Geographic Information (cont'd)

Consumer product information related to domestic and foreign operations is as follows:

	2000					
	(in thousands)					
	United	Continental				
	States	Europe	Other Countries	Consolidated		
Net sales	\$ 222,691	\$ 55,933	\$ 84,850	\$ 363,474		
Operating income	67,506	3,230	10,528	81,264		
Net income	42,076	2,154	6,822	51,052		
Identifiable assets	186,088	55,092	61,806	302,986		
	1999					
		(in th	housands)			
	United	Continental				
	States	Europe	Other Countries	Consolidated		
Net sales	\$ 169,887	\$ 40,880	\$ 47,105	\$ 257,872		
Operating income	23,750	2,903	5,825	32,478		
Net income	14,174	1,890	3,778	19,842		
Identifiable assets	177,600	15,919	45,831	239,350		
			1998			
		(in tl	housands)			
	United	Continental				
	States	Europe	Other Countries	Consolidated		
Net sales	\$ 164,924	\$ 34,835	\$ 32,175	\$ 231,934		
Operating income	35,658	2,270	3,377	41,305		
Net income	20,644	1,256	2,245	24,145		
Identifiable assets	191,408	15,732	18,675	225,815		

Note 12 - Restructure Charge

In October 1999, the Company's Board of Directors approved strategic initiatives (the "Restructuring Plan") to restructure and refocus the Company's footwear business. Under the Restructuring Plan, the Company substantially reduced its in-house footwear manufacturing operations and partnered with select third-party manufacturers to assist in the development and manufacture of the Company's complete footwear product line. Additionally, the Company has increased its emphasis on direct-sales channels to augment sales throughout traditional retail accounts and to broaden accessibility of the Company's products to its customers.

Related to this Restructuring Plan, the Company recorded a charge of \$12.6 million (\$8.2 million, or \$0.12 per share, on an after-tax basis) during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year ended December 31, 1999. This charge was included in cost of goods sold and is comprised of the following components:

Note 12 - Restructure Charge (cont'd)

	(in thousands)
Writedown of excess inventories (including inventory associated with product returns)	\$ 8,502
Writedown of production equipment to estimated net realizable value	3,592
Other costs associated with Restructuring Plan	506
	\$ 12,600

The \$12.6 million charge included reserves related to inventory and sales returns, aggregating \$6.5 million, which the Company fully utilized upon the completion of the Restructuring Plan in October 2000 with no revisions to the charge recorded.

Note 13 - Quarterly Financial Data (unaudited)

	First Quarter	Second Ouarter	Third Ouarter	Fourth Quarter
	<u> </u>	thousands, exc	<u> </u>	
Year ended December 31, 2000:				
Net sales	\$ 63,086	\$100,013	\$107,044	\$93,331
Gross profit	39,075	66,743	65,133	54,116
Income before provision for income taxes	8,418	28,396	26,828	14,899
Net income	5,472	18,457	17,438	9,685
Basic net income per share	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.14
Diluted net income per share	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.14
Year ended December 31, 1999:				
Net sales	\$48,726	\$ 72,071	\$ 70,819	\$66,256
Gross profit	28,673	46,784	44,127	28,950 (1)
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	2,168	16,334	15,892	(3,868)
Net income (loss)	1,409	10,617	10,322	(2,506)
Basic net income (loss) per share	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.15	\$ (0.04)
Diluted net income (loss) per share	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.15	\$ (0.04)
Year ended December 31, 1998:				
Net sales	\$41,000	\$ 70,030	\$ 67,263	\$53,641
Gross profit	24,818	45,461	41,903	33,618
Income before provision for income taxes	2,128	18,123	13,375	5,570
Net income	1,311	11,164	8,239	3,431
Basic net income per share	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.05
Diluted net income per share	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.05

(1) Cost of goods sold includes a \$12.6 million charge recorded in 1999 in connection with the restructuring of the Company's footwear, see Note 12 in *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*.

SCHEDULE II – VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000, 1999 AND 1998

	Balance at beginning of period	Additions charged to costs and expense	Deductions	Adjustments	Balance at end of period
For the year ended December 31, 2000:	¢ 5 00.000	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ф.	¢	• • • • • • • • • •
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 598,000	\$ 914,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,512,000
Inventory reserve	\$ 9,272,000	\$ 1,924,000	\$ (4,440,000)	\$ -	\$ 6,756,000
For the year ended December 31, 1999:					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 621,000	\$ 12,000	\$ (35,000)	<u>\$ -</u>	\$ 598,000
Inventory reserve	\$ 2,322,000	\$ 6,950,000 (1)	\$ -	<u>\$ -</u>	\$ 9,272,000
For the year ended December 31, 1998:					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 551,000	\$ 70,000	\$ -	<u>\$ -</u>	\$ 621,000
Inventory reserve	\$ 1,725,000	\$ 597,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,322,000

(1) Includes inventory reserves related to the \$12.6 million restructuring charge recorded in 1999 in connection with the restructuring of the Company's footwear operations. Such reserves were fully utilized in 2000 as shown above.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

OAKLEY, INC.

By: <u>/s/ Jim Jannard</u> Jim Jannard Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Date: March 26, 2001

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Title</u>	Date
/s/ Jim Jannard Jim Jannard	Chairman of the Board and CEO (Principal Executive Officer)	March 26, 2001
/s/ Link Newcomb Link Newcomb	Chief Operating Officer and Director	March 26, 2001
/s/ Thomas George Thomas George	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)	March 26, 2001
/s/ Abbott Brown Abbott Brown	Director	March 26, 2001
/s/ Michael Jordan Michael Jordan	Director	March 26, 2001
/s/ Irene Miller Irene Miller	Director	March 26, 2001
/s/ Orin Smith Orin Smith	Director	March 26, 2001









INTERNATIONAL NET SALES (in millions)





CORPORATE INFORMATION

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

JIM JANNARD

Chairman, Chief Executive Officer

Chief Operating Officer

ABBOTT BROWN

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Ridgestone Corporation Co-founder, Former Executive and Board Member, Global Crossing Ltd.

MICHAEL JORDAN

President of Basketball Operations, Washington Wizards Professional Basketball Team Partner and Investor, Lincoln Holdings, LLC. Director and Business Advisory, Board Member, MVP.com Director, divine Interventures Former Professional Athlete

IRENE MILLER

Chief Executive Officer, Akim, Inc. Director, Barnes & Noble, Inc. and The Body Shop International PIc. Former Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer, Barnes & Noble, Inc.

ORIN SMITH

Director, Chief Executive Officer, Starbucks Corporation

CORPORATE OFFICERS

JIM JANNARD Chairman, Chief Executive Officer

LINK NEWCOMB Chief Operating Officer

COLIN BADEN President

THOMAS GEORGE Chief Financial Officer

SCOTT BOWERS Vice President of Sports Marketing

DONNA GORDON Vice President of Finance, Secretary

JON KRAUSE Vice President of Operations

KENT LANE Vice President of Manufacturing

CARLOS REYES Vice President of Development

TOMMY RIOS Vice President of Oakley Worldwide

LEGAL COUNSEL

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom Los Angeles, CA

Weeks, Kaufman & Johnson San Diego, CA

CORPORATE OFFICES

Oakley, Inc. One Icon Foothill Ranch CA 92610 USA 949 951 0991

Oakley Africa PO Box 5550, Walmer Port Elizabeth 6065 South Africa +27 41 501 0200

Oakley Canada 2660 Diab Saint-Laurent Quebec H4S 1E8 Canada

1 800 448 9714

Oakley Europe 385 Avenue de la Belle Etoile Parc des Nations–Z.I. Paris Nord II Roissy CDG cedex, 95911 France +33 1 48 17 83 50

Oakley Japan 1-24-1 Nakane, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-0031 Japan +81 3 5729 4994

Oakley Mexico Camino Cuajimalpa Palo Solo #7 Hacienda de las Palmas Huixquilucan Mexico C.P. 52765 +52 5 247 0310

Oakley South Pacific 19 Baines Ct. Torquay, Victoria 3228 Australia +61 3 5220 5100

Oakley U.K. Ltd. Icon House, Letchworth Garden City Herts SG6 1GD United Kingdom +44 1462 475 400

Oakley GMBH Carl-Zeiss-Ring 7A D-85737 Ismaning +49 89 99 65 4100

SECURITIES LISTING

Oakley, Inc. common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the trading symbol "00."

ANNUAL MEETING

Shareholders are cordially invited to attend Oakley's 2001 Annual Meeting to be held at Oakley Corporate Headquarters, One Icon, Foothill Ranch, CA 92610, June 1, 2001 at 10:00am.

TRANSFER AGENT & REGISTRAR

American Stock Transfer & Trust Company 40 Wall Street New York, NY 10005 212 936 5100

AUDITORS

Deloitte & Touche LLP Costa Mesa, CA

INVESTOR RELATIONS

PondelWilkinson MS&L Los Angeles, CA

SHAREHOLDER INQUIRIE

Inquiries from shareholders and potential investors regarding the Company are always welcome.

Copies of the Company's 10–K (Annual Report), 10–Q (Quarterly Reports) and other Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) filings are available to shareholders by written request to:

Oakley, Inc.

Investor Relations One Icon Foothill Ranch CA 92610 USA 949 951 0991

or by visiting the Company's website: www.oakley.com

